Prepared for submission to JHEP

# Douglas–Kazakov on the road to superfluidity: from random walks to black holes

**A. Gorsky,**[1,2] **A. Milekhin,**[3,1,4] **and S. Nechaev**[5,6,7]

[1] *Institute of Information Transition Problems, B.Karetnyi 19, 127051 Moscow, Russia*

[2] *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia*

[3] *Princeton University, Physics Department, 08544 NJ, USA*

[4] *Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B. Cheryomushkinskaya 25, 117218 Moscow, Russia*

[4] *Université Paris-Sud/CNRS, LPTMS, UMR8626, Bât. 100, 91405 Orsay, France*

[6] *Laboratoire J.-V. Poncelet, CNRS-Independent University, Bolshoy Vlasyevskiy 11, 119002 Moscow, Russia*

[7] *P.N.Lebedev Physical Institute, RAS, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

*E-mail:* shuragor@mail.ru, milekhin@itep.ru, sergei.nechaev@gmail.com

arXiv:1604.06381v1 [hep-th] 21 Apr 2016

Abstract: Inspired by the connection between $(1+1)D$ "vicious walks" (VW) and 2D YM theory, we consider different incarnations of large-$N$ Douglas-Kazakov (DK) phase transition in stochastic processes and in gauge field theories focusing at its physical interpretations. We generalize the connection between VW and YM, and study the influence of initial and final out-of-equilibrium distributions of walkers on the DK phase transition, as well as describe the effect of $\theta$-term in related stochastic processes. We consider the Jack stochastic process involving Calogero-type interaction between walkers and find the dependence of the transition point on the coupling constant. Using the relation between large-$N$ 2D $q$-YM and extremal black hole (BH) with large-$N$ magnetic charge, we conjecture the physical interpretation of the DK phase transitions in the 4D extremal charged black holes and its relation to Brownian branes. Utilizing the interpretation of superfluidity as the specific response on the external graviphoton field, we suggest that a kind of superfluid component is formed during the evolution of out-of-equilibrium initial state above DK transition in the instanton-driven strong coupling phase.

## Contents


**1 Introduction** **2**

**2 Douglas–Kazakov phase transitions in the 2D Yang-Mills theory** **8**
- 2.1 2D Yang-Mills on a sphere 8
- 2.2 $q$-deformed 2D Yang-Mills on a sphere 10
- 2.3 $(q,t)$-deformed 2D Yang-Mills on a sphere 11
- 2.4 2D Yang-Mills on a cylinder and a disc 12

**3 DK for free fermions, directed vicious walks, Calogero and SLE models** **13**
- 3.1 Preliminaries: Two faces of Fokker-Planck equations 13
- 3.2 Free fermions and vicious walks in 1+1 16
- 3.3 Interacting random walkers: Calogero model and SLE stochastic process 18
  - 3.3.1 Calogero model and Jack process 18
  - 3.3.2 SLE versus Calogero 20
- 3.4 CS theory and Ruijsenaars-Schneider model 22

**4 DK transition and duality** **23**
- 4.1 From circle to line with harmonic trap. Forth order Hamiltonian. 23
- 4.2 Vicious walks on a line in a harmonic trap. Ground state. 26

**5 2D Yang-Mills theory and vicious walks in the hydrodynamic approach** **28**
- 5.1 Complex Hopf equation 28
- 5.2 Hydrodynamic limit with of VW with $N^{-1}$-corrections and generating function of area-preserving Brownian Excursion 30

**6 DK transition for black hole partition function** **32**
- 6.1 BH partition function in mixed representation 32
- 6.2 $Z_{BH} = Z_{q2dYM}$ 34
- 6.3 DK for black hole 34
- 6.4 BH and random walks 35

**7 Topological susceptibility – the way to superfluidity** **36**
- 7.1 Winding distribution in superfluid 36
- 7.2 $\theta$-term versus twist in superfluid 38
- 7.3 Comment on the BEC conjecture for Black Holes 40
- 7.4 Towards the Anderson localization? 41

**8 Conclusion** **42**

**A Appendix. Multiple vicious walkers and the Fokker-Planck equation** **44**

**B Statistics of area-weighted Brownian excursions paths** **46**

## 1 Introduction

The third order phase transition, known now as Douglas-Kazakov (DK) transition, was comprehensively studied for the first time in [1] in the large-$N$ two-dimensional Yang-Mills (YM) theory on a sphere. It was understood that this transition is the reincarnation of the Gross-Witten-Wadia phase transition [2, 3] in the lattice version of the gauge theories. In the matrix model framework, the DK transition results in changing the density eigenvalue support: below the transition point, the density enjoys the one-cut solution, while above the transition it has two-cut solution corresponding to an elliptic curve. Near the transition region, the eigenvalue density is governed by the universal Tracy-Widom distribution. In terms of the 2D YM theory on the sphere $S^2$, the phase transition occurs at some critical value of the radius of a sphere, $R_c$. It has been found that the YM partition function is dominated by the zero-instanton charge sector at weak coupling regime (below the transition point), while at strong coupling (above the transition) instantons dominate [4]. Similar phase transition was found also for the Wilson loop in the 2D YM theory [5].

The DK-like phase transition occurs also in deformed topological theories, where the behavior is more generic. For example, similar phase transitions have been found in 2D YM theory on the cylinder and disc [4]. In these cases the phase transition points strongly depend on the boundary holonomies. Quite recently, the generalizations of the 2D YM to the 2D $q$-YM [6–8] and to the 2D $(q,t)$-YM [9, 10] were developed, and a very rich structure of the DK-like phase transitions has been found. In these cases, the transition points again separate different phases: the "perturbative" and the "strong coupling" instanton-dominated phases. However, if in the ordinary DK transition one has single transition point, in deformed case one sees multiple transitions driven by different types of instantons.

Along the text we exploit the relations among three physical problems: i) Directed vicious random walks (VW) in (1+1)D with different initial and boundary conditions [11, 12]; ii) Deformed large-$N$ topological field theories in 2D and 3D space-time [13–15]; and iii) Entropy of the extremal (i.e zero-temperature) charged black holes (BH) in 4D at large magnetic charge [16–18]. Motivated by the DK phase transition in 2D YM, we address in this paper few physical questions:

- The DK transition occurs in collective constrained thermal Langevin dynamics of Dyson particles on a circle. Is the meaning of the DK phase transition (if any) for stochastic quantization the same as for the thermal dynamics?

- How could we understand physics of DK transition from different viewpoints: as "orthogonality catastrophe" and as hydrodynamics description of "closure of the gap"?

- What is the physical meaning of the DK transition in the black hole? What happens with magnetically charged extremal BH at transition point and what is the physical interpretation of stochastic dynamics for extremal magnetic BH?

- Is it possible to form a kind of superfluid component at strong coupling above the DK transition in the hydrodynamical description?

These questions are considered in this paper for which we provide some answers and conjectures paying especial attention to the physical interpretation of the phenomena. It should be emphasized that we discuss two types of stochastic processes along the text. The first one concerns the standard *thermal Brownian dynamics* with the diffusion coefficient proportional to the temperature. This stochastic process is described by the Langevin equation, for which the probability distribution satisfies the standard Fokker-Planck (FP) equation in the Euclidean time which, in turn, can be transformed into the Schrödinger equation for the many-body quantum system of interacting point-like particles. The most popular relevant stochastic processes are: i) the Schur process (vicious walkers or free fermions); ii) the Jack process where the degrees of freedom interact as Calogero particles; and iii) the Macdonald process in which the degrees of freedom interact as particles in the Ruijsenaars-Schneyder system (see [19] for review). Another important role the random processes play in the *stochastic quantization* hold for any system with finite number degrees of freedom, or a field theory [20]. In stochastic quantization the quantum correlators are evaluated via the solution to classical stochastic equations in an additional "stochastic" time. The quantum correlators describe equilibrium states of the stochastic system at the infinite stochastic time. The parameters of the Fokker-Planck equation corresponding to stochastic quantization have different meanings: the diffusion coefficient is now identified with the Planck constant, and the potential in the thermal FP equation gets substituted by the action. The Fokker-Planck equation itself is related to the Schwinger-Dyson equation in the quantum field theory. For the gauge theory it is a kind of a loop equation collecting the whole set of Virasoro constraints [21].

The reason to consider the stochastic quantization (SQ) dynamics along with the thermal stochastic dynamics is partially motivated by rich supersymmetric structure of SQ. One example is the famous Parisi-Sourlas SUSY dimensional reduction [22], another involves the Nicolai map which transforms the Langevin equation into the white noise [24]. The index of the Nicolai map coincides with the Witten index in the corresponding SUSY quantum mechanics [25]. The mechanism of the SUSY breaking is elaborated only partially and it was conjectured long time ago that the states with broken SUSY correspond to non-equilibrium steady states [23]. The hidden SUSY structure in stochastic equations motivates some studies where the relation with the topological theories were elaborated. In particular the unifying role of the FP equations in the context of the topological strings has been conjectured in [26] and the twisted index for the Nicolai map has been suggested in [27] which involves the analogue of the $R$-twisting in time direction. We conjecture existence of DK transition relevant to stochastic quantization, in multipiparticle radial stochastic Löwner equation (SLE) (for review of SLE see [28]).

For real time stochastic Brownian dynamics, the DK transition is identified as follows. Consider $N$ ($N \gg 1$) vicious (1+1)D Brownian walkers (or free fermions) on a circle, and compute the probability to reach some prescribed final state at time $T$ starting from some fixed initial out-of-equilibrium configuration. This probability obeys the multiparticle diffusion equation similar to the Schrödinger equation in the Euclidean time. Replacing the Laplacian operator by the self-adjoint one, we can equivalently describe the system of fermionic particles by the Fokker-Planck (Dyson) equation, which in turn, can be derived from the Langevin equation with random forcing. The properly normalized partition function of the large-$N$ 2D YM theory on the sphere turns out to coincide with the reunion probability of $N$ "Brownian fermions" (Schur process) on the circle upon the proper identification of parameters [11, 13]. The reunion probability undergoes a kind of 3rd order phase transition at some critical point and has a lot in common with the "orthogonality catastrophe" for the many-body fermionic system [31].

The DK critical behavior can be traced not only for the partition function but for other important variables. The hydrodynamic viewpoint on real time stochastic dynamics of $N$ (1+1)D vicious walkers deals with the challenging problem of computation the multipoint correlation function $\rho_N(x_1, x_2, ...x_k, t)$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$. A particularly interesting object is the resolvent in YM theory defining the one-particle correlation function (the density). It obeys the complex-valued Burgers-Hopf equation [4] with particular boundary conditions. It also obeys the algebraic equation following from the loop equation. We emphasize in this paper that the hydrodynamic point of view becomes very useful at least in two aspects. On one hand, it enables us to consider system of Brownian fermions with large number of particles. This problem itself has a lot in common with the overlapping of multifermionic wave functions studied in [31, 32]. On the other hand, even the one-point correlation function, $\rho_N(x, t)$, which satisfies the Burgers-Hopf equation, has some far-reaching connections, the one-point correlation function in the large-$N$ vicious walks ensemble, shares limiting properties of the statistics of $(1 + 1)d$ single random walk with fixed area below the curve. The last problem is known to be connected with the generating function of algebraic invariants (Jones, HOMFLY) of some specific series of torus knots [35].

The important question concerns the hydrodynamic identification and interpretation of DK transition. The typical example of the critical behavior can be interpreted as the overturn of the solution at finite time due to non-linearity (as in Burgers equation at small viscosity). However, the pattern of the criticality related to DK case was argued in [4, 33] to be different. It has been shown in [4, 33] that the DK transition takes place when the fluid density has no gaps on the circle. Since the initial state of the fluid corresponds to the local bump, it means that the transition takes place when two fronts moving in the opposite directions on the circle, collide at some finite time. At this point one deals with the quantum hydrodynamics, and the solution upon collision corresponds to the strong coupling phase dominated by instantons in YM and windings in RW case.

The holographic approach, ultimately related to the stochastic quantization, adds a new facet to the problem of DK transition. It was argued that the stochastic time can be identified with the radial holographic coordinate [29, 30], and the Fokker-Planck equation

is tightly related to the holographic RG flows, as formulated in [36]. The Brownian motion in the theory with the boundary, corresponds to the stochastic motion of the end of the fundamental string [38, 39] extended along the radial coordinate. It turns out, that such Brownian dynamics is not thermal. Namely, if we consider the string in the bulk background of the BH, the Hawking temperature does not matter for the stochastic motion. The stochastic y is dominated by the local temperature on the string worldsheet and the horizon is formed at the *finite* radial coordinate. The stochastic dynamics can emerge for the extremal BH with vanishing temperature as well. The general discussion on the relation between the boundary and worldsheet temperatures can be found in [37].

The similar holographic viewpoint can be applied to the multiple SLE in the radial direction, which was related to the FP equation at the boundary for the walkers interacting as in the trigonometric Calogero model [41]. Note that in the framework of the stochastic quantization, the DK transition is a bit subtle issue since we are searching for the critical behavior of the many-body system at finite stochastic time, i.e. at finite value of the RG scale.

From the standpoint of the DK transition, the RW-YM correspondence is generalized in our paper along the following ways:

- We demonstrate that DK phase transition in 2D YM on the cylinder and disc with the fixed holonomies amounts to the phase transition in the stochastic process with prescribed out-of-equilibrium configurations of extremities (initial and final points);
- We take into account the $\theta$-term in 2D YM, fixing the total instanton number, and discuss the RW counterpart of corresponding theory in terms of vicious walks with fixed chemical potential for winding on a circle;
- We add a Wilson line in a particular representation to the 2D YM on the cylinder which yields the trigonometric Calogero model [42, 43]. From the RW point of view, it involves the modified interaction between vicious walkers and corresponds to the so-called "Jack stochastic process". Taking into account the relation between the trigonometric Calogero model and the stochastic Löwner evolution (SLE), we discuss the 3rd order DK transition in terms of SLE.

The problems related to DK phase transition can be reformulated in terms of the string theory which uncovers the connection with black holes. It is known that 2D YM theory on the torus is realized as the worldvolume theory on $N$ $D4$-branes wrapping the $O(-p) \to T^2$ subspace inside $O(-p) \times O(p) \to T^2$ Calabi-Yau manifold [16]. On the other hand, such $N$ $D4$-branes yield the description of the black hole in 4D with the magnetic charge $N$. Explicitly, the relation between partition functions of the 4D black hole, $Z^{4d}_{BH}$, the 2D YM, $Z^{2d}_{YM}$, and the topological string, $Z_{top}$, roughly states [17]

$$Z^{4D}_{BH} = Z^{2D}_{YM} = |Z_{top}|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

The correspondence between the 4D charged black hole and the 2D YM was generalized in [18] to the arbitrary genus $g$ of the base in CY , however it turned out that $q$-deformed 2D

YM has to be considered for $g \neq 1$ case and the additional integration over the holonomies is involved. Similar relation between the partition function of $(q,t)$ 2D YM and of the refined black hole indices, has been elaborated in [9]. The BH is extremal and to some extend can be considered as the particle with huge degeneracy, corresponding to multiplicity of the $D2-D0$ branes on $N$ $D4$ branes forming the extremal charged black holes.

Using the map between $q$-deformed YM in 2D and the black hole partition function [16, 18], we identify the values of the black hole electric chemical potentials corresponding to the DK phase transition. Since we consider the limit of BH with large magnetic charge of special interest are phenomena and configurations specific for this limit. It was argued in [52] that the configuration with large magnetic charge tends to form the monopole spherical shell which at some critical value of parameters gets transformed into the magnetically charged BH. This is the magnetic counterpart of the phenomena known for electrically charged BH which undergoes the superconducting phase transition and BH with fermionic environment when the electronic star gets formed at the point of 3rd order phase transition (see [55] for the review). We shall conjecture that the DK phase transition at the BH side corresponds to the "monopole wall-magnetically charged BH" transition discussed in [52].

In fact, the relation between the DK transition and BH physics has been discussed in [53, 54] at a different angle. In these papers the large-$N$ 4D gauge theory on the manifold invoving $S^3$ is considered holographically. There is some compact direction treated as the thermal circle and the gravity background involves the BH. There is Page-Hawking transition in this setup and moreover it is a version of the small BH-string transition suggested long time ago in [45, 46]. The DK transition has been interpreted as small DH-string transition in [54] however the parameters of the gauge theory were not interpreted as the BH charges and chemical potentials. Our picture will differ from this scenario.

To complete the connections, we have to explain the role of the stochastic dynamics in the framework of the extremal magnetic BH. One line of reasoning involves the behavior of the long strings near the BH horizon. In was conjectured in [45, 46] that the degeneracy of the states of the long string probably could explain the black hole entropy and, to some extend, the BH itself can be treated as the long string wrapped the stretched horizon. This logic has been extended further and it was argued argued that the long string near horizon behaves as a random walker [48]. This approach is based on the thermal scalar picture [47]. Another viewpoint deals with the counting of the degeneracies of the BPS states with the fixed quantum numbers in terms of properly weighted random walks in the region determined by this quantum numbers. This stochastic approach to the BPS counting worked successfully in the evaluation of the particular observables in 5D SUSY YM QCD [35, 50, 51]. We shall discussed some aspects of the BH-RW correspondence in the paper.

Borrowing some results from the superfluidity theory [61] we conjecture that the DK transition can be interpreted as the emergence of the superfluid component in the strong coupling phase. To this aim it is particularly appropriate to regard the superfluidity as the specific response on the external abelian graviphoton field. Such interpretation is well glued with the consideration of the black hole, where the analogue of the superfluid component is saturated by the $D2$-brane "topological susceptibility" yielding the electrically charged

component of the black hole at the horizon. Our conjecture has some similarity with another study [34]. It was suggested in [34] that the closing the gap at DK point has something to do with the formation of a kind of the chiral condensate which is determined via Casher-Banks relation by the spectrum of the Dirac operator at the origin. This condensate breaks the global chiral symmetry.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we remind the key points concerning the DK phase transition in the 2d YM theory and its generalizations. We explain how the RW-YM correspondence yields the new critical behavior at the RW side for different out-of-equilibrium states. In Section 3 we generalize the duality to the interacting random walkers and comment on the relation to the SLE process. In Section 4 we consider the duality between two integrable systems and formulate how the DK transition is mapped under the duality transform. Section 5 is devoted to the hydrodynamical aspects of the DK transition. Section 6 concerns the $q$ YM-BH correspondence from the DK phase transition viewpoint. It Section 7 we conjecture that the DK phase transition corresponds to the appearance of a kind of the superfluid component at the strong coupling side. This phase corresponds to the "condensate of instantons", "condensate of t'Hooft loops" or "condensate of $D2$-"branes", depending on the "observer". The results and open questions are summarized in the Discussion.

Schematically the links between different subjects discussed throughout the work, are shown by arrows in the diagram depicted in the Fig.1.




**Figure 1**. Flowchart of tentative connections between different parts of the work. The numbers designate corresponding Sections.

## 2 Douglas–Kazakov phase transitions in the 2D Yang-Mills theory

### 2.1 2D Yang-Mills on a sphere

Let us briefly review the original setting of Douglas–Kazakov (DK) phase transition developed in [1] for a Yang-Mills (YM) theory on a sphere $S^2$. The YM partition function on a two-dimensional surface of genus $g$ reads

$$Z_g\left(g_{YM}, A\right) = \int [dA]\,[d\Phi] \exp\left\{ \int_{\sigma_g} d\sigma \left( \mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi F + g_{YM}^2 \mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi^2 \right) \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

where $A$ is the vector potential of the gauge field, $F$, and $g_{YM}$ is the coupling constant.

The partition function (2.1) for the $U(N)$ gauge group can be rewritten as a sum over representations:

$$Z_g\left(g_{YM}, A\right) = \sum_R (\dim R)^{2-2g} e^{-\frac{A g_{YM}^2}{2N} C_2(R)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the representations $R$ are labelled by Young tableaux, i.e. by sets of $N$ ordered integers $\{n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_N\}$. The functions $C_2(R)$ and $\dim R$ are correspondingly the quadratic Casimir (Laplace operator) and the dimension of the representation, $R$:

$$C_2(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i \left(n_i - 2i + N + 1\right), \qquad \dim R = \prod_{i>j} \left(1 - \frac{n_i - n_j}{i-j}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

In the following we are mostly interested in $g = 0$ case, corresponding to $S^2$ topology.

In the large-$N$ limit the system experiences a third-order phase transition. In terms of the continuous variable $h(x)$, describing the shape of the Young tableau,

$$h(x) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i - n_i}{N}, \qquad x = \frac{i}{N} \tag{2.4}$$

the effective YM action for $N \gg 1$ can be written as:

$$S_0[h(x)] = -\int_0^1 \int_0^1 dx dx' \,\log\left|h(x) - h(x')\right| + \frac{g_{YM}^2 A}{2} \int_0^1 dx\, h^2(x) - \frac{A}{24}. \tag{2.5}$$

Since we started from a Young tableau, we have, by definition, a constraint on its shape, $h(x)$ meaning that the line numbers, $n_i$, should sequentially increase with $i$. This requirement in the limiting case gets mapped onto the condition

$$\rho(h) \leq 1 \tag{2.6}$$

where $\rho(h) = \frac{\partial x(h)}{\partial h}$.

The phase transition happens, when the classical solution of (2.5) ceases to satisfy the constraint $\rho(h) \leq 1$. The corresponding solution is:

$$\frac{\delta S_{\text{eff}}}{\delta h} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = \frac{A g_{YM}^2}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{4}{A g_{YM}^2} - h^2}. \tag{2.7}$$




**Figure 2**. Left: density profile before the phase transition. Right: after the phase transition

Hence the DK phase transition occurs at

$$A \equiv A_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2}{g_{YM}^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Beyond $A_{cr}$, the density $\rho(h)$ of eigenvalues develops a plateau around $h = 0$ - Figure 2. Later in Section 3.2 we will discuss the physical meaning of this phenomena. Right now note that the original sum has a symmetry $n_i - i \leftrightarrow n_j - j$. So we can get rid of the requirement $\rho \leq 1$: we divide by $N!$ and sum over all possible $n_i$. But we still expect the phase transition to occur. How is this possible? The actual origin of the phase transition is the log term in eq. (2.5): when a saddle point contains two coincident $h(x)$ the integrand becomes infinite and this is not a real saddle point.

The DK phase transition can be easily generalized to the case of generic $\beta$–ensemble. Let us consider the partition function

$$Z_\beta\left(g_{YM}, A\right) = \sum_{\{n_i\}} \Delta(\boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{\beta i})^{2\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{A g_{YM}^2}{2N} \sum_i n_i(n_i - 2\beta i + N + 1)\right) \tag{2.9}$$

where $\Delta(\boldsymbol{n})$ is the Vandermonde determinant, which we often encounter throughout the paper,

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{\beta i}) = \prod_{i<j}(n_i - \beta i - n_j + \beta j) \tag{2.10}$$

Since we are interested in the large-$N$ limit, it is convenient to introduce again the continuum variable $h(x)$ as:

$$h(x) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta i - n_i}{N}, \qquad x = \frac{i}{N} \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, instead of (2.6), we have a modified condition:

$$\rho(h) \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \tag{2.12}$$

and the third-order phase transition occurs at

$$A \equiv A_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2}{\beta g_{YM}^2}. \tag{2.13}$$

This transition can be thought of as a kind of a phase transition for the norm of the Laughlin state describing the fractional quantum Hall effect.

### 2.2 $q$-deformed 2D Yang-Mills on a sphere

One can generalize the 2D YM theory onto 2D $q$-YM involving the additional parameter $q = e^{-g_s}$ where $g_s$ is the string coupling constant. The 2D $q$-Yang-Mills theory on a sphere can be mapped onto the nondeformed 2D Yang-Mills on a cylinder with specific boundary holonomies. The partition function of the $q$-deformed theory can be written as a sum over representations of the gauge group, as in the non-deformed case. The essential difference is that the dimension of the representation must be replaced by the quantum dimension:

$$Z_g^q(g_s, A) = \sum_R (\dim_q R)^{2-2g} q^{\frac{Ag_s}{2N} C_2(R)} e^{i\theta C_1(R)}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $C_1(R)$ is the first Casimir of the representation, and quantum dimension is given in terms of the $q$-numbers:

$$\dim_q R = \prod_{i>j} \frac{[n_i - n_j + j - i]_q}{[j-i]_q} = \prod_{i>j} \frac{\sinh \frac{g_s}{2}(n_i - n_j + j - i)}{\sinh \frac{g_s}{2}(j-i)} \tag{2.15}$$

The partition function for the $q$-Yang-Mills theory on a sphere coincides with the partition function for the non-deformed theory on a cylinder. Indeed, defining the non-trivial holonomies, $U_1$ and $U_2$ around the boundaries of the cylinder, the partition function can be written as:

$$Z^{cyl}(g_{YM}^2, A|U_1, U_2) = \sum_R \chi_R(U_1)\chi_R(U_2^\dagger)\, e^{-\frac{Ag_{YM}^2}{2N} C_2(R) + i\theta C_1(R)}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\chi_R$ are the characters in the representation $R = \{n_1, \ldots, n_N\}$:

$$\chi_R(U) = \frac{\det_{i,j}(e^{i(n_i - i)\theta_j})}{\prod_{i<j}(e^{i\theta_i} - e^{i\theta_j})}, \tag{2.17}$$

and $0 \le \theta_i \le 2\pi$ are the eigenvalues of the unitary matrix $U$. Recall that for the quantum dimension there exists the following expression:

$$\dim_q R = \chi_R(q^{-\rho}),\ \ \rho_i = 1/2 - i \tag{2.18}$$

Thus, the partition function of $q$ YM (2.14) coincides with the partition function on a cylinder (2.16) upon the identification [13–15]:

$$\theta_k = ikg_s, \qquad g_s^{-1} = g_{YM}^2. \tag{2.19}$$

Besides, we have to set particles equidistantly in the complex plane since the boundary holonomy is $q^{-\rho}$. The phase transition for the $q$-YM has more rich structure than for the non-deformed case and can be considered as a reduction of the 2D $(q,t)$-Yang-Mills which we are going to describe in the next section.

### 2.3 $(q,t)$-deformed 2D Yang-Mills on a sphere

The $(q,t)$ deformed 2D YM theory on a Riemann surface has been formulated in [9, 10]. The partition function of the model is a natural extension of the Schur polynomials to the elliptic Macdonald polynomials:

$$Z_{q,t}(\Sigma_g) = \sum_R \left(\frac{\dim_{q,t} R}{g_R}\right)^{2-2g} q^{p\|R\|^2/2} t^{p(\rho,R)} \tag{2.20}$$

In (2.20) we have introduced two equivatiant parameters, $q = e^{-\epsilon_1}$, $t = e^{\epsilon_2}$, while $(\rho, R) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i n_i(N+1-2i)$, and $\dim_{q,t} R$ is a $(q,t)$-deformed dimension of the representation $R$,

$$\dim_{q,t} R = (q/t)^{|R|/2} M_R(t^\rho; q, t) = q^{||R||^2/4} t^{-||R^T||^2/4} \frac{1}{\prod_{\square\in R}\left(q^{\frac{a}{2}} t^{\frac{l+1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{a}{2}} t^{-\frac{l+1}{2}}\right)} \tag{2.21}$$

where $g_R$ is a normalization factor for the Macdonald polynomials $M_R$:

$$g_R = \frac{\langle M_R, M_R\rangle}{\langle M_0, M_0\rangle} = \prod_{\square\in R} \frac{1-q^{a+1}t^l}{1-q^a t^{l+1}} \frac{1-q^{a'}t^{N-l'}}{1-q^{a'+1}t^{N-l'-1}} \tag{2.22}$$

Setting $\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2 = 0$, we reduce the $(q,t)$-deformation to the $q$-deformation considered above.

The partition function for a cylinder with holonomies $U_1, U_2$ reads as:

$$Z^{cyl}_{q,t} = \sum_R \frac{1}{g_R} M_R(U_1; q, t) M_R(U_2^\dagger; q, t) q^{p\|R\|^2/2} t^{p(\rho,R)} \tag{2.23}$$

The normalization factor $g_R$ essentially comes from the requirement that upon gluing two cylinders, we obtain a cylinder again,

$$\int dU\, M_R(U; q, t) M_T(U; q, t) = \delta_{T,R} g_R \tag{2.24}$$

Now let us consider the large-$N$ limit. Sending $N$ to infinity, we also take limits $\epsilon_1 \to 0$ and $\epsilon_2 \to 0$, keeping $\tau_{1,2} = N\epsilon_{1,2}$ constant. The DK phase transition for the sphere geometry preserves the second deformation and the equation for the critical area reads [10]:

$$A_{crit} = \tau_2 p = -p^2 \log\cos^2\frac{\pi}{p} \tag{2.25}$$

This equation holds for $p > 2$. It was argued in [9] that the partition function of the $(q,t)$-deformed 2d YM on the sphere coincides with the refined partition function of the extremely charged BH. This is a first signature of emergence of black holes in the DK context, which will be extended throughout our paper.

In what follows we consider the particular limit of Jack polynomials: sending $\epsilon_1 \to 0$ and $\epsilon_2 \to 0$ we keep both values, $\alpha = -\epsilon_2/\epsilon_1$, and $p\epsilon_1 = g_C^2$ finite. In such a limit the

Macdonald polynomials become Jack polynomials, $J_R^\alpha$, and Eq. (2.23) gets transformed into the following expression:

$$Z_{cyl}^\alpha = \sum_R \frac{1}{\tilde{g}_R} J_R^\alpha(U_1) J_R^\alpha(U_2^\dagger) \exp\left(-\frac{g_C^2}{2}\sum_i n_i(n_i - 2i\alpha + N + 1)\right) \tag{2.26}$$

For the partition function on a sphere we have:

$$Z_{S^2}^\alpha = \sum_{n_i} \prod_{b=0}^{\alpha-1} \left(\Delta(\boldsymbol{n})^2 - b^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{g_C^2}{2}\sum_i n_i(n_i - 2i\alpha + N + 1)\right) \tag{2.27}$$

The measure in (2.27) looks quite complicated. Fortunately, in the large-$N$ limit, all $n_i$ are of order of $N$, while $\alpha$ stays of order of 1. Therefore, in the leading approximation we can drop the term $b^2$ and end up with the familiar $\beta$-ensemble with $\alpha = \beta$.

### 2.4 2D Yang-Mills on a cylinder and a disc

It is known [11, 12] (see also the next Section for a brief overview) that the partition function of 2D Yang-Mills theory on a sphere with an $U(N)$ gauge group coincides with the partition function of a bunch of $N$ directed one-dimensional random walks, of lengths $t$ each, on a periodic lattice (strip) along $x$-coordinate of width $L$, where the parameters $t$ and $L$ are absorbed by the YM coupling constant, $g_{YM}$. Changing the gauge group $U(N)$ to $Sp(2N)$, or to $SO(2N)$, one can mimic partition functions of vicious random walks with Dirichlet ($Sp(2N)$), or Neumann ($SO(2N)$) conditions at strip boundaries.

However little is known about the dependence of the DK transition point on the distribution of extremities of vicious walkers. In this subsection we consider vicious walks on periodic lattice in two cases: (i) when both extremities of vicious walks are equally-spaced distributed within some interval $\varepsilon$ along $x$-axis, and (ii) when initial points are equally-spaced distributed within the interval $\varepsilon$, and final points are free. In the frameworks of the field-theoretic description, the case (i) corresponds to the 2D $U(N)$ YM theory on a *cylinder*, and the case (ii) – to the 2D $U(N)$ YM theory on a *disc*. We also show that the case (ii) at $\varepsilon \to 0$ is reduced to the 2D $U(N)$ YM on a *sphere*. Schematically these cases are depicted in the Fig.3.




**Figure 3**. The 2D YM on a cylinder (a) is reduced to the 2D YM a disc (b) when left extremities are kept on finite support ($\varepsilon_2 \to 0$), and right ones are set to zero, and to the 2D YM on a sphere (c) when $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 \to 0$.

If the distribution of the eigenvalues $\sigma(\theta)$ is uniform on the interval of length $c$, namely,

$$\sigma(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2c}, & |\theta| \leq c, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

the phase transition occurs at

$$\tanh^2 \frac{\pi c}{A} = \tanh \frac{c^2}{A}. \tag{2.29}$$

Taking $c$ as

$$c = \frac{i g_s N}{2}, \tag{2.30}$$

in the large-$N$ limit of (2.19) we arrive at a condition for the phase transition in $q$-YM [**?** ]:

$$e^{\frac{g_s^2 N^2}{A}} = 1 + \tan^2 \frac{\pi g_s N}{A}. \tag{2.31}$$

If one boundary shrinks to the point, we arrive at 2D YM on a disc, corresponding to one common extremity (i.e. initial or final point) of the bunch of walkers. The DK phase transition occurs in this case at the critical area defined by the condition

$$\frac{\pi}{A} = \int \frac{\sigma(s)\, ds}{\pi - s} \tag{2.32}$$

– see [50] for details.

## 3 DK for free fermions, directed vicious walks, Calogero and SLE models

### 3.1 Preliminaries: Two faces of Fokker-Planck equations

Let us briefly recall general aspects of the stochastic dynamics. For simplicity, we begin with a single-particle stochastic dissipative dynamics, described by the (1+1)-dimensional Langevin equation for $x(t)$:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -k \frac{dW(x)}{dx} + \xi(t) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\xi(t)$ is the white noise:

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0; \quad \langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = D\delta(t - t') \tag{3.2}$$

In (3.1)–(3.2) $D = kT$ and $W(x)$ are the diffusion coefficient and the energy of the system. The Langevin equation yields the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation for the probability density, $P(x, t)$:

$$\frac{dP(x,t)}{dt} = D \frac{d^2 P(x,t)}{dx^2} + k \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{dW(x)}{dx} P(x,t) \right) \tag{3.3}$$

whose stationary solution has the Gibbs distribution

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(x,t) \equiv P_{st}(x) \sim e^{-\frac{W(x)}{T}} \tag{3.4}$$

In this version of the FP equation, the white noise has purely thermal nature. The FP equation can be written also in the momentum and phase spaces.

The Fokker-Planck equation plays a different role in the stochastic quantization of generic dynamical system [20]. Let us introduce an additional time-like variable, the "stochastic time", $s$, and consider the Langevin equation for a particle dynamics with respect to $s$. The phase space of the system acquires one extra dimension, becoming (1+1+1)–dimensional as is schematically shown in the Fig.4.




**Figure 4**. Schematic phase space of one-particle stochastic dynamics, $x(t,s)$, in time, $t$, and in stochastic time, $s$.

Since the phase space of our system is lifted on one extra dimension, we should replace the energy, $V(x)$, by the action, $S(x(t))$, and the diffusion coefficient, $D$, – by the Planck constant, $\hbar$, which plays the role of the "temperature" for quantum system. The corresponding FP equation reads now

$$\frac{dP(x(t),s)}{ds} = \hbar\frac{\partial^2 P(x(t),s)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x}P(x(t),s)\right) \tag{3.5}$$

Eq.(3.5) has the conventional path integral quantum measure, defined by the stationary solution of (3.5)

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} P(x(t),s) \equiv P_{st}(x(t)) = e^{-\frac{S(x(t))}{\hbar}} \tag{3.6}$$

The stochastic quantization approach allows to derive the quantum correlators in quantum mechanics, or in quantum field theory, directly from the solution of the FP equation in the limit $s \to \infty$. Figuratively speaking, we could think of the classical statistical mechanics of strings at finite temperature, as of stochastic evolution of a quantum particle, where the energy of a string is replaced by the action of a particle. In such interpretation, the white noise has quantum nature, and stochastic time is considered as the Schwinger proper time. Keeping in mind forthcoming holographic consideration related to thermodynamics of the black hole, we would like to have more transparent view of stochastic time.

The simplest one-loop correlators in the field theory can be written as the product of bulk-boundary propagators in the Anti-de-Sitter (AdS)-like bulk theory with an additional integral over the radial coordinate of the bulk point. This representation is proved upon identification of the Schwinger proper time with the radial coordinate in the AdS bulk [68]. The same identification holds if we consider the effective Lagrangian of an external field in the boundary theory [67]. In that case, the external field provides the effective cut-off in the radial coordinate. This can be seen, for instance, in the representation of the scalar propagator, $G_{sc}$, in the external self-dual field $F$, for which $G_{sc} = \int_{eF}^{\infty} ds e^{-x^2 s}$ [67]. The identification of the stochastic time with the radial coordinate in the bulk has been suggested in [29].

The viewpoint on the stochastic time as on the "radial coordinate" towards the holographic horizon, matches perfectly the holographic picture for the Brownian motion [38, 39], where the Brownian particle is considered as the end of the string extended along the radial AdS coordinate in the background of the black hole. The string fluctuates due to the emission and the growth of the string inside the bulk occurs according to the Langevin dynamics in stochastic time. Let us emphasize that the random motion along the stochastic time $s$ (i.e. along a radial coordinate) *is not* of thermal nature: for instance, it deals nothing with the Hawking temperature of the BH. What matters, is the emergence of the string worldsheet horizon at a finite value of the radial coordinate. Thus, the FP equation in the stochastic time (3.5) can be regarded as the equation describing the holographic renormalization-group (RG) flows in the boundary theory. In the next Section we shall argue that the approach developed in [41] for the radial Schramm-Löwner equation (SLE) fits perfectly with this picture.

The said above allows us to conjecture that the DK phase transition in the multiple particles stochastic dynamics, corresponds to two different physical processes, "thermal Brownian dynamics" and "stochastic quantum diffusion", though formally they are very similar.

1. In the thermal Brownian motion along a "physical time", $t$, the critical behavior in the reunion probability occurs as the finite time $t_{cr}$, being a consequence of the "orthogonality catastrophe" for chosen out-of-equilibrium boundary conditions of random walks.

2. To the contrary, in the quantum diffusion, the system evolves in the stochastic time, $s$, which has the sense of a holographic radial coordinate attributed to ends of growing interacting strings in the AdS-like system. Strings grow from a common point at the boundary and join altogether at some point in the bulk at the stochastic time $s_{cr}$. The physical time is integrated over since the action enters into the FP equation. The critical stochastic time, $s_{cr}$, at which the DK transition occurs, implies that the RG flows behave differently above and below $s_{cr}$.

We end up this brief summary of different viewpoints on stochastic dynamics with two open questions. Taking into account that the Planck constant plays the role of the temperature in the stochastic quantization scheme, we can consider the conventional tem-

perature as the inverse period of the Euclidean time. One could ask whether the Planck constant can be thought of a kind of the period in the holographic coordinate. One could also consider the quantization of the system at finite temperature, wondering about the case when both, physical and stochastic times, are involved into the FP equation, and pose the question how the DK transition looks in that case.

### 3.2 Free fermions and vicious walks in 1+1

The computation of the 2D YM partition function on the sphere with the $U(N)$ gauge group can be reformulated in terms of the computation of the reunion probability of $N$ vicious walkers [11–15]. Consider Brownian dynamics of $N$ repulsive points (or particles with fermion statistics) on a periodic one-dimensional lattice with the period $L$ (i.e on a circle of perimeter $L$), starting from some initial distribution, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, ..., x_N\}$, and after time $t$ arriving at some final distribution, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, ..., y_N\}$. The reunion probability, $P(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, can be constructed from a eigenfunction, $\Psi_N(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{x})$, written as a Slater determinant (known equivalently as Fisher, Karlin-McGregor, or Lindstrom-Gessel-Viennot formulae) on wave functions of individual free particles, $\psi(p_j|x_k)$

$$\Psi_N(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det \psi(p_j|x_k), \quad \psi(p_j|x_k) = \exp(ip_j x_k) \qquad 1 \le j, k \le N \tag{3.7}$$

Here $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ are the coordinates of the particles and $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, ..., p_N)$ are the corresponding momenta. Consider a configuration when all particles start from a single point and after time $t$ return to the same point on a circle. The probability of such a process reads (3.7)

$$P_N(t, |\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x})\Big|_{\mathbf{x}\to 0} = \langle \Psi_N | e^{-tH_0} | \Psi_N \rangle \Big|_{\mathbf{x}\to 0} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \Psi(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{x})\, \Psi^*(\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{x})\, e^{-tE(\mathbf{p})}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{x}\to 0}, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$E(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E(p_j), \qquad E(p_j) = \frac{\pi^2 D}{2L^2} p_j^2, \qquad p_j = 0, 1, 2, ... \tag{3.9}$$

and each $E(p_j)$ is the eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator

$$H_0 = D \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2}$$

for the non-stationary wave function, $\psi(t, x)$, on a circle with circumference $L$,

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \psi(t,x)}{\partial t} = D \dfrac{\partial^2 \psi(t,x)}{\partial x^2} \\ \psi(t, x) = \psi(t, x + L) \end{cases}$$

As we have mentioned before, we rely on the fact that the free Schrödinger equation in imaginary time coincides with the Fokker-Plank equation, where the diffusion coefficient $D$ is related to the mass by $D = 1/2m$. Taking in (3.8) the limit $\mathbf{x} \to 0$, we arrive at

$$P_N(t, L|\mathbf{x} \to 0) = C\delta^{N(N-1)/2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in Z} \prod_{i<j} (p_i - p_j)^2 e^{-\frac{\pi^2 D t}{L^2} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\delta = x_i - x_{i+1} \to 0$ and $C$ is normalization factor. For $N \to \infty$ we keep the density of particles, $\frac{L}{N}$, to be finite $O(N^0)$ and the combination $tD$ scales as $N$, since we want the total mass $mN$ to be finite.

Comparing the reunion probability (3.10) with (2.2), we see that the reunion probability upon the identification:

$$g_{YM}^2 A = \frac{\pi^2 DtN}{L^2} \tag{3.11}$$

coincides with the partition function (up to the normalization factor) of the Yang-Mills theory on a sphere with the $U(N)$ gauge group. Therefore, the phase for free fermions on a circle transition occurs at:

$$\frac{DtN}{L^2} = 1 \tag{3.12}$$

Mapping of the 2D YM theory onto vicious walkers on the cylinder goes as follows. The probability for $N$ vicious walkers with the boundary conditions $(x_1, \dots x_N)$ and $(y_1, \dots y_N)$ corresponds to the transition amplitude in the 2D YM on the cylinder. The initial distribution of the walkers corresponds to the holonomy of the gauge field at one boundary, and the final distribution – to the holonomy at another boundary of the cylinder. The said can be easily seen if we rewrite (3.7) in terms of Schur functions:

$$\Psi\left(\mathbf{p}|\boldsymbol{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \chi_R(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}) \Delta(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \tag{3.13}$$

where we have introduced an auxiliary Young diagram, $R : n_i = p_i + i$. We take the energy to be proportional to the quadratic Casimir of $\lambda$:

$$E(p) = \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i(n_i - 2i + N + 1) = \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(p_i + \frac{N+1}{2}\right)^2 + const \tag{3.14}$$

It actually means that the physical momenta are $p_i + \frac{N+1}{2}$. Therefore, we can rewrite the transition amplitude as:

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{\phi} \mid e^{-tH_L} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\rangle = C\Delta(e^{-i\boldsymbol{\phi}})\Delta(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \sum_R \chi_R(e^{-i\phi_1}, \dots, e^{-i\phi_N}) \chi_R(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}) \times \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi^2 t}{mL^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2\right) \tag{3.15}$$

This expression exactly coincides with the 2D YM partition function on a cylinder – compare (3.15) and (2.16).

Thus, the DK phase transition happens at some critical value of the distance between the initial positions of the walkers. The critical Young diagram which is given by (2.4) corresponds to the choice of the momentum eigenstate which gives the major contribution to the transition amplitude. As we have noted above, the eigenvalue density, $\rho(h)$, develops a plateau around $h = 0$. In terms of the momenta rescaled by $N$, the "height function", $h(x)$, reads:

$$h(p) = \frac{1}{2} - x - n = -\frac{1}{2} - p \tag{3.16}$$

We see that particles condense around the zero physical momenta. Moreover the plateau occurs in fermion density. Indeed, in the large-$N$ limit, it is convenient to pass to the second-quantized picture. In this picture we are dealing with a state $|\psi\rangle = |p_1, \dots, p_N\rangle$, which in the continuum limit satisfies

$$\hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_p |\psi\rangle = \rho(p)|\psi\rangle \tag{3.17}$$

Let us also note that this DK transforms at $g_s \to 0$ into the transition discussed at length of [11].

Let us speculate more about the meaning of this phase transition from the point of view of free fermions. Here we start from a out-of-equilibrium state where all the particles sit almost at one point and after a finite time we end up with the DK phase transition. Obviously the free fermion partition function does not exhibit any phase transitions. Therefore this behavior is specific to the out-of-equilibrium state we have chosen. Since particles are located near one point we are dealing with a huge density. We know that high matter densities eventually form a black hole. In fact this is exactly what we observe here. Of course, we do not have any gravitational interactions here, but still two phenomena are very similar as we now argue. Indeed, apart from just placing particles at one point we also send the number of particles $N$ to infinity. As it turns out even that is not enough: after a finite time the density of states becomes truly infinite since two fermion momenta collide(see the discussion after eq. (2.8)). This is at this point when the "black hole" is formed. From this picture we expect that after the phase transition we must have a non-zero Hawking temperature if the BH is nonextremal. And indeed the fermion density(Figure 2) strikingly resembles fermion density function at finite temperature, the plataeu playing the role of the Fermi surface. Of course, the profile on Figure 2 is not given by the Fermi-Dirac distribution. Even after the phase transition the quantum system is still in the pure state, so we can not expect truly thermal distribution and only a "'horizon"' can be expected.

### 3.3 Interacting random walkers: Calogero model and SLE stochastic process

#### 3.3.1 Calogero model and Jack process

So far we have considered the free fermions, corresponding to $\beta = 1$ in terms of $\beta$-ensembles. The DK critical behavior happens in matrix elements $W(\boldsymbol{\phi}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\phi} \mid e^{-tH_L} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} \right\rangle$ of the evolution operator $H_L$ between some initial and final $N$-fermion states on a circle. For generic $\beta$ the free fermions on a circle get transformed into the particles interacting via the trigonometric Calogero potential. The wave functions and the spectrum of the model is exactly known, and can be conveniently written down in terms of Jack polynomials. We consider the transition amplitude like $W(\boldsymbol{\phi}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and identify the DK transition in this case for the reunion matrix element.

Let us emphasize that even in the interacting case we can transform the Fokker-Plank equation into the Schrödinger equation by the self-adjoint change of variables. The potential in the FP equation and in the Schrödinger equation are related as the ordinary potential $V$ and the "superpotential" $W$:

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = D(\nabla W(\mathbf{x}))^2 - \Delta W(\mathbf{x}) \tag{3.18}$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial\psi(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2m}\Delta\psi(\mathbf{x},t)-V(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x},t) \tag{3.19}$$

becomes the FP equation

$$\frac{\partial P(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t}=D\Delta P(\mathbf{x},t)+D\sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}W(\mathbf{x})P(\mathbf{x},t)\right) \tag{3.20}$$

upon the substitution $D=\frac{1}{2m}$ and $P(\mathbf{x},t)=\psi(\mathbf{x},t)e^{-W(\mathbf{x})}$.

Considering the Jack stochastic process instead of the Schur process for free fermions, we can use the result of [41] and treat the Calogero Hamiltonian as the FP operator for the stochastic radial multiple SLE process in the disc. In this case the radial multiple $\mathrm{SLE}_\beta$ process in the bulk of the disc corresponds to the Jack process at the disc boundary. Note that here our discussion of two stochastic times becomes relevant: we consider the Jack process with respect to the stochastic quantized "holographic" time which has a meaning of a radial distance in the disc.

Recall that the Calogero-Sutherland model can be obtained in the 2D YM theory with inserted Wilson line along the cylinder in the particular representation, which amounts to the interaction of the holonomy eigenvalues [42, 43]. The Calogero-Sutherland potential reads

$$V(\theta_i-\theta_j)=\nu^2\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta_i-\theta_j)} \tag{3.21}$$

The coupling $\nu=\beta(\beta-1)$ corresponds to the specific choice of the representation ($\nu$'s power of the fundamental one). It is very well known that the eigenfunctions now are Jack polynomials $J^\beta_\lambda$:

$$\Psi\left(\mathbf{p}|\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}J^\beta_R(e^{i\theta_1},\dots,e^{i\theta_N})\Delta^\beta(e^{i\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \tag{3.22}$$

in (3.22) we have again introduced an auxiliary Young diagram $R$, $n_i=p_i+i$. The energy, $E$, is given by the deformed quadratic Casimir of $R$:

$$E=\frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2\sum_i n_i(n_i-2i\beta+N+1) \tag{3.23}$$

The Calogero superpotential, according to (3.18), becomes:

$$W=\gamma\sum_{i<j}\log\sin(\theta_i-\theta_j),\qquad 2D\gamma(\gamma-1)=\beta(\beta-1) \tag{3.24}$$

Now it is obvious that the partition function for the $\beta$-deformed YM on a cylinder (2.26) coincides with the transition amplitude for Calogero particles. Boundary holonomies give boundary conditions for particles and the coupling constant, $g_C^2$, coincides with $t\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2$. Actually, the eigenstates of the Calogero model behave as anyons, see [69] for a review. So, it can be said that various deformations of the 2D YM theory are described by free particles with generalized statistics. Also, it easy to see the partition function of the $\beta$-deformed

YM on $S^2$ corresponds to the reunion probability for all the particles to join at one point. The DK phase transition in this case happens at the critical values of parameters, given by

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \frac{tN}{m} = \frac{\pi^2}{\nu} \tag{3.25}$$

The Fokker-Planck equation in the dimensionless time, $s$, associated with the Jack process, reads

$$\frac{\partial P_N(s,\mathbf{x})}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 P_N(s,\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial W(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} P_N(s,\mathbf{x})\right) \tag{3.26}$$

Eq.(3.26) is a master equation for so-called "Dyson Brownian particles" with the Langevin stochastic dynamics $\mathbf{x}(s)$ in quantized stochastic time, $s$, in the potential (3.24):

$$\frac{dx_i(s)}{ds} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial W(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i(s)} + \eta_i(s) \tag{3.27}$$

where $\eta_i(s)$ is a uncorrelated white noise, $\langle \eta_i(s)\eta_j(s')\rangle = \delta(s-s')\delta(i-j)$. Writing $x_j$ in the form $x_j = e^{i\theta_j}$, and considering the hydrodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, $s \to \infty$ with $\frac{N}{s} = u = \text{const}$, one ends up with the Langevin equation

$$\frac{d\theta_j(s)}{ds} = \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{j\neq k} \cot(\theta_j(s) - \theta_k(s)) + \eta_j(s) \tag{3.28}$$

### 3.3.2 SLE versus Calogero

Now we are in position to discuss the connection between Dyson random walks in thermodynamic limit with the multiple SLE stochastic process in the context of the DK transition. It was shown in [41] that the Calogero model is related to the Brownian motion of $N$ interacting particles on the disc boundary, and simultaneously, to the multiple SLE process when $N$ curves evolve from boundary at $t = 0$ inside the disc towards the origin (the origin is reached at $t \to \infty$) as is schematically shown in the Fig.5.

The SLE process can be considered as the evolution of conformal mapping $g_t(z)$

$$\frac{dg_t}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j(g_t), \qquad \alpha_j(g_t) = -g_t \frac{g_t + e^{i\theta_j}}{g_t - e^{i\theta_j}} \tag{3.29}$$

This multiple radial SLE evolution for interacting Brownian trajectories, propagating from the external boundary inside the annulus, are shown in the Fig.5. The corresponding Langevin equation reads

$$\frac{d\theta_j(s)}{ds} = -\frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} \cot(\theta_i(s) - \theta_j(s)) + \eta_j(s) \tag{3.30}$$

where $\eta_j(s)$ is again the non-interacting Brownian dynamics of $j$th particle ($1 \leq j \leq N$). Since (3.30) and (3.28) coincide, it was pointed out in [41] that radial SLE, Calogero -




**Figure 5**. Multiple radial SLE evolution for interacting Brownian trajectories, propagating from the external boundary inside the annulus towards the origin (the origin is reached at infinite time.

Jack stochastic dynamics, and Dyson gas dynamics for Gaussian Unitary Ensemble in hydrodynamic limit coincide, being different incarnations of the same process. The SLE time asymptotically behaves as $\log r$ where $r$ is radius inside the annulus.

It is also useful to discuss briefly this relation from the CFT perspective. The solution to the "stationary" in the radial stochastic time FP equation for the Jack process was identified [41] with the conformal block

$$\langle \Phi(0)\phi_{2,1}(z_1)\dots\phi_{2,1}(z_N)\rangle \tag{3.31}$$

where $\phi_{2,1}$ is the field in the CFT with $c = 1 - \frac{6(4-k)^2}{4k}$. For the Calogero coupling constant one has $\beta = \frac{8}{k}$. The energy level of the quantum Calogero FP Hamiltonian, $E$, corresponds to the conformal dimension of the particular operator $\Phi$ in the center of the disc

$$h_\Phi + \bar{h}_\Phi = \frac{k}{N}E + \text{const} \tag{3.32}$$

while the total momentum, $P$, in the Calogero stochastic model corresponds to the spin of the operator

$$h_\Phi - \bar{h}_\Phi = \frac{k}{N}P \tag{3.33}$$

This presumably can be viewed from the holographic perspective, in which the conformal block in the boundary theory can be interpreted in terms of the $AdS_3$ bulk gravity. In would be interesting to extend this correspondence for the quantum $N$-body elliptic Calogero model. It is known that its wave functions (i.e probability from FP viewpoint) coincide with the conformal block on the marked torus with insertions of $N$ operators $\phi_{2,1}$. From the holographic perspective this conformal block, at least in the particular semiclassical light-heavy limit corresponds to the insertion of the deficit of angle state [44] amounting to a kind of a horizon in the bulk at finite stochastic time.

It should be emphasized that the DK phase transition discussed above, has a meaning for Calogero model at large number of particles $N$ only. Therefore, it would be interesting to map this phase transition onto the behavior of the radial SLE at large number of evolving curves. For instance, if we consider the reunion probability in the Calogero FP Hamiltonian, it would mean that all curves start at the same boundary point and have the same angular coordinate at the reunion time. The straightforward physical meaning of the DK transition in $\mathrm{SLE}_k$ is somewhat hidden, however we can provide its intuitive interpretation in terms of the "orthogonality catastrophe" discussed above. It is also interesting to understand in details the DK transition in terms of the $AdS_3$ gravity having in mind the holographic approach.

### 3.4 CS theory and Ruijsenaars-Schneider model

The Chern-Simons (CS) theory admits the fermionic representation which underlies the vicious walkers picture. The only difference is that the fermions in CS case have relativistic dispersion law since momenta live on the circle in this case. However there are some dualities which transfer the periodicity in the momenta space into the periodicity in the coordinate space.

The partition function of the topological CS theory on $S^3$ corresponds to the walkers on a periodic line, with extremities identically distributed within the segment $g_s$. There is no phase transition in this case. When $g_s \to 0$, the topological CS theory reduces to the topological 2D YM theory. On the VW side the initial and final distributions reduce to the point. This chain of transformations is summarized in the following flowchart:




**Figure 6**. Connection between CS, YM and VW for different distribution of extremities.

When we switch on the nontrivial Hamiltonian in 2D YM, or in $q$-YM, the partition function becomes area–dependent, and the phase transition takes place at the critical point. At the VW side the evolution goes through the cylinder with flat boundary distributions for the $q$-YM to a point-like distributions for the pure YM case.

For $p = \theta = 0$ the Eq.(2.15) coincides with the partition function of CS theory on $\Sigma \times S^1$, while at $p \neq 0$ this equation matches the CS theory on the $S^1$–bundle over $\Sigma$. For $\Sigma = S^2$ this manifold is the lens space $S^3/Z_p$. In the CS theory the string coupling reads as

$$g_s = \frac{2\pi i}{k+N}. \tag{3.34}$$

Upon the Poisson resummation, the partition function for the sphere can be written in the following form

$$Z^{qYM}(g_s,\Sigma) = C \sum_{w,w'\in W} \epsilon(w)\epsilon(w') \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \exp\left[\left(-\frac{2\pi^2}{pg_s}\mathbf{n} + \frac{ig_s}{2\pi}\mathbf{a}(ww')\right)^2 + \frac{2\pi\theta}{pg_s}\mathbf{ne}\right] \tag{3.35}$$

where $W$ is the Weyl group.

The insertion into CS theory two Wilson loops yields the trigonometric Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS) system [42]. The phase space of this systems gets identified with the gauge connections on the torus with the marked point where the Wilson line in the particular representation is inserted. In this case, the eigenstates are given by the Macdonald polynomials $M_R(x_1, \ldots, x_N; q, t)$. They can be diagonalized via the so-called Macdonald-Ruijsenaars operators, $\hat{D}^r_N, r = 0, \ldots, N$:

$$\hat{D}^r_N M_R = D^r_N(R) M_R \tag{3.36}$$

The eigenvalues are neatly described by the following generation function:

$$\sum_r X^r D^r_N(R) = \prod_{i=1}^{l(R)} (1 - X q^{n_i} t^{i-1}) \tag{3.37}$$

The value of the Hamiltonian for a particular $M_R$ is given by:

$$H = \sum_i \left( q^{n_i} t^{i-1} + q^{-n_i} t^{1-i} \right) \tag{3.38}$$

Comparing these expressions with the 2D $(q, t)$-YM partition function on a cylinder (2.23), we face the following problem: the expression $q^{\|R\|^2/2} t^{(\rho, R)}$ does not coincide with any of the RS Hamiltonians. Therefore we have to study the evolution generated by this unusual Hamiltonian. Apart from this subtlety, again we can map $(q, t)$-deformed YM onto the RS system. Combination of the area and coupling constant, $p = Ag^2$, on the YM side, is mapped to the time on the RS side.

The $(q, t)$-deformed YM partition function on a $S^2$ corresponds again to the reunion probability. Recalling the section 2.3, we conclude that the phase transition always occurs at time $t = p$ $(p > 2)$:

$$\tau_2 t = -t^2 \log \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{t} \tag{3.39}$$

– compare to (2.25).

## 4 DK transition and duality

### 4.1 From circle to line with harmonic trap. Forth order Hamiltonian.

So far we have considered the system of fermions or anyons on the circle where the DK transition has been physically interpreted as a kind of orthogonality catastrophe for the out-of-equilibrium initial and final states. It is useful to exploit the duality formulated in [70] which relates the trigonometric Calogero model on the circle of radius $R$ with the rational Calogero model on an infinite line in a harmonic trap. Consider two Hamiltonians for the $N$-particle system: on a circle $(H_{I,2})$, and on a line with an additional parabolic

well ($H_{II,2}$), where:

$$\begin{cases} H_{I,2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial_i^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i\neq j}^{N} \frac{g_I(g_I-1)}{\sin^2((x_i-x_j)/R)} \\ H_{II,2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial_i^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i\neq j}^{N} \frac{g_{II}(g_{II}-1)}{(x_i-x_j)^2} + \omega^2 \sum_i x_i^2 \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The coefficient, $\omega$, in the parabolic potential is related to the radius, $R$, of the circle as $\omega = \frac{1}{R}$. It was proved that the coupling constants in two systems coincide, i.e. $g_I = g_{II}$, therefore if we choose, say, the coupling $g = 1$ corresponding to the free fermions in one system, we obtain the free fermions in the second system as well. In what follows we consider just this case.

Both systems are of the group origin and have the interpretation as constrained free dynamics on the group-like manifolds. The mapping between the corresponding phase spaces can be formulated in terms of the polar decomposition of the group elements. The phase space of the system I is $T^*G \times C^N$ subject to the moment map constraint

$$\mu = p - g^{-1}pg - v \otimes \dagger v \tag{4.2}$$

Choosing diagonal matrix $g = \exp(\frac{1}{R} diag(q_1, \dots q_N))$ the matrix p gets identified as the Lax matrix for the system on the circle. The system II has phase space $T^*g \times C^N$ subject to moment map constraint

$$\mu = [P, Q] - v \otimes \dagger v \tag{4.3}$$

Matrix Q is diagonal and introducing $Z = P + iQ$ the map between two systems goes as follows

$$Z = \omega^{1/2} p^{1/2} g \qquad \omega p = ZZ^+ \tag{4.4}$$

The enumeration of the Hamiltonians in two systems gets shifted as follows [70]

$$H_{II,2} = H_{I,1} = P_I, \qquad H_{I,2} = H_{II,4} \tag{4.5}$$

where $H_{I,k}$, $H_{II,k}$ and $P_I$, $P_{II}$ are correspondingly the $k$-th Hamiltonians for the systems $I$ and $II$. That is time and coordinate directions in two systems are different and the mapping of the evolution operators reads as

$$U_I = \exp(it_{I,1}H_{I,1} + it_{I,2}H_{I,2}) \leftrightarrow U_{II} = \exp(it_{II,2}H_{I,2} + it_{I,4}H_{I,4}) \tag{4.6}$$

Having both nontrivial "times" $t_1, t_2$ in the system I we shall get the non-vanishing "times" $t_2, t_4$ for quadratic and quartic Hamiltonians in system II. This means that in system II we have the fermions perturbed by the Hamiltonian of the fourth order in coordinates and momenta.

Having in mind this duality we could question on the possible DK-like transition for the system on the line in harmonic trap. How it could be formulated and what is the very meaning of the winding on the line and winding dominated phase? Naively there is no

evident place for the winding in the harmonic potential on a line at all. For the system on a circle the winding is defined as $N_I = \int \dot{q} dt_{I,2}$ hence in the dual system we have to consider the evolution of some periodic variable with respect to $t_{II,4}$. It is natural to choose the periodic angular variable on the phase plane. Let us emphasize that the "'time"' $t_{II,4}$ plays the role of the perturbation of the harmonic trap potential therefore the flow in the parameter space has to be considered. Fortunately these subtle points fits together to provide the natural candidate for the winding number in the type II system.

Recall that in the Hamiltonian dynamics there is the so-called Hanney angle which describe the nontrivial bundle of the angle variable over the parameter space. The action-angle variables can acquire the nontrivial holonomy when we move in the parameter space. It is the semiclassical counterpart of the Berry connection known in the quantum case. Typically we have nontrivial Hanney connection when there is the intersection of the Lagrangian submanifolds in the phase space. This is exactly what we have - the natural winding in the perturbed harmonic trap is

$$N_{II} = \int \dot{\alpha} dt_{II,4} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\alpha$ is the angular variable on the phase space in system II. We presented this argument for the single degree of freedom however it seems to work for multi-particle case as well.

To suggest the interpretation of DK transition consider first the case of one degree of freedom. The condition that we start at fixed value of q in system I means that we consider the fixed angle condition in system II, since for the case of fermions without interaction the momentum and coordinate in system I gets mapped precisely into the action and angle in the system II. Now switch on $t_{II,4}$ and follow the trajectory of the point in the phase space as a function of $t_{II,4}$. The "'reunion" process in system I gets mapped to the process in the system II when the trajectory of the point reach the same angle coordinate after evolution in the parameter space. It is clear that the trajectory can wind the origin which yields some winding number. Certainly in the quantum formulation of this problem we have some distribution of the winding numbers and the very issue can be thought as the investigation of the "' orthogonality catastrophe"' under the "'evolution in the parameter space"'. To some extend it can be thought of as the example of RG dynamics.

We have illustrated above the very meaning of the reunion process and the winding number in the system II for the one-body problem where the DK transition is absent. In the large-$N$ limit one can use the hydrodynamics approximation, and the system of the free fermions on the line is described by the forced Hopf equation [83]. There is the natural Hall-like droplet before switching on $t_{II,4}$ perturbation. The droplet form gets evolved under the perturbation and we can pose the "'reunion" problem for the droplet state. The natural candidate reads as follows. We fix some angle at the phase place at the initial point and ask if the droplet upon some time interval in $t_{II,4}$ will involve two supports at fixed angle. The DK transition would mean that the sum of the individual windings of the fermions along the flow in the parameter space does not vanish in the strong coupling case. This picture resembles a lot the emergence of the two-cut support in the spectral density in

the matrix model discussed in the related framework in [84]. Certainly this issue deserves further investigation.

### 4.2 Vicious walks on a line in a harmonic trap. Ground state.

In this subsection we formulate one more way to get the DK-like phase transition in the system of fermions in the harmonic trap. The straightforward view on vicious walks on a line is as follows. Consider the system of $N$ fermion worldlines (vicious walkers) on a line in a parabolic confining potential $U(x_1,...x_N) = \omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j^2$. The trajectories start from the configuration $\mathbf{x}(0) = (x_1(0),...,x_N(0))$ and arrive after time $t$ at the configuration $\mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t),...,x_N(t))$. We are interested in the reunion probability, $P(t,\mathbf{x}(0),\mathbf{x}(t))$, which can be explicitly written as

$$P(t,\mathbf{x}(0),\mathbf{x}(t)) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \Psi_N(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{x}(0))\Psi_N^*(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{x}(t))e^{-tE(\mathbf{n})} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\Psi_N(n|\mathbf{x}(t))$ is a Slater determinant

$$\Psi_N(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{x}(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \det \psi(n_j|x_k(t)) \tag{4.9}$$

and $\psi(n|x)$ is a single-particle solution of diffusion equation in a parabolic confining potential:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(n|x) + \omega^2 x^2 \psi(n|x) = E_n \psi(n|x) \tag{4.10}$$

Solving (4.10), one gets

$$\psi(n|x) = H_n(x)e^{-x^2/2} \tag{4.11}$$

where $H_n(x)$ are the Hermite orthogonal polynomials which satisfy the three-recurrence relation

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - 2nH_{n-1}(x) \tag{4.12}$$

The explicit expressions of the eigenvalue, $E_{\mathbf{n}}$, and corresponding eigenfunction, $\Psi_N(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{x}(t))$, in (4.9), are

$$\begin{cases} E_{\mathbf{n}} \equiv E_{n_1,...n_N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} n_k \\ \Psi_N(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{x}(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{1\le n_1\le ...\le n_N}^{N} \begin{vmatrix} H_{n_1}(x_1) & H_{n_1}(x_2) & ... & H_{n_1}(x_N) \\ H_{n_2}(x_1) & H_{n_2}(x_2) & ... & H_{n_2}(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{n_N}(x_1) & H_{n_N}(x_2) & ... & H_{n_N}(x_N) \end{vmatrix} e^{-\frac{\omega^2}{2}\sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} x_n^2(t)} \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Substituting (4.13) into (4.9), we get the expression of the reunion probability, which essentially simplifies in the case $t \to \infty$ because in this limit only the ground state wavefunction with $\mathbf{n}_{gr} = (n_1 = 1, n_2 = 2, ..., n_N = N)$ survives in the sum (4.9). Thus, in the limit

$t \to \infty$ one has for the reunion probability

$$\Psi_N(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \Psi_N(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{x}(t)) \propto \Psi_N(\mathbf{n}_{gr}|\mathbf{x})\ \Psi_N^*(\mathbf{n}_{gr}|\mathbf{x}(t)) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & ... & x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{N-1} & x_2^{N-1} & ... & x_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix}^2 e^{-\omega^2 \sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} x_n^2}$$

$$= \prod_{k>j} \left(x_k - x_j\right)^2 e^{-\omega^2 \sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} x_n^2} \qquad (4.14)$$

Now we can ask a question about the "conditional reunion probability", $\Psi_N(x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_N < L)$, where $L$ is the location of the upper boundary for the topmost fermionic path - see the Fig.7. The bunch of $N$ fermion worldlines lives in the quadratic potential well, $U(x_1, ...x_N) = \omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j^2$, schematically shown by the gradient color in the Fig.7, which prevents the fermion paths to escape far from the region around $x = 0$. So, one can say that the fermion trajectories are "softly" supported from below, while "rigidly" bounded from above.




**Figure 7**. The bunch of long fermion paths on an infinite support in a quadratic potential. The topmost line is bounded from above at the distance $L$ from the origin.

The critical behavior in this system is formally identical to the one in the bunch of fermion paths of finite length under the constraint $x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_N < L$ on the position of the upmost line. Comparing (B.8) and (3.10), and taking into account that for VW ensemble the transition occurs at the point given by (2.8), we can conclude that the DK transition point in harmonic potential on the line in the limit $t \to \infty$ is defined by the relation

$$\frac{\omega^2}{\pi^2} N = 1 \qquad (4.15)$$

## 5 2D Yang-Mills theory and vicious walks in the hydrodynamic approach

### 5.1 Complex Hopf equation

So far we were concerned mainly with the asymptotic behavior of the normalized partition function of a 2D Yang-Mills theory on a cylinder, sphere or a disc with the $U(N)$ gauge group, or equivalently, of a reunion probability of $N$ one-dimensional directed vicious walks on a periodic lattice with specific out-of-equilibrium distribution of extremities. We have shown that the meaning of the DK phase transition is different in the Yang-Mills and in the vicious walks terms: for 2D YM theory the DK transition is the transition between the strong and weak coupling regimes, while for the VW and SLE the DK transition can be interpreted as a kind of the "orthogonality catastrophe" [31], commented below.

The duality between "quantum mechanical" (Schrödinger) and "probabilistic" (Fokker-Planck) descriptions of the reunion probability, $P(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv P(t, x_1, ...x_N)$, enables us to study the DK transition not only in terms of the normalized partition function of the system, but also in terms of correlation functions. Below we pay the most attention to the one-point correlation function, $p(t, x)$, defined as follows:

$$p(t, x) = N^{-1} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N} dx_j \, P(t, x_1, ...x_N) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta(x - x_j) \tag{5.1}$$

The one-point density can be expressed via the resolvent. Supposing $x$ to be complex and performing the Hilbert transform,

$$u(z, t) = \oint dx \, \frac{p(x, t)}{z - x}$$

where $p(x, t)$ is the one-point correlation function of the ensemble (3.10), we arrive in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$ to the complex-valued Burgers-Hopf equation for the function $u(z, t)$ (see [4, 33])

$$\frac{\partial u(z, t)}{\partial t} - u(z, t) \frac{\partial u(z, t)}{\partial z} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

One can split the function $u(z, t)$ in a real and complex parts, $u(z, t) = i\pi\rho(z, t) + v(z, t)$, where $\rho(x, t)$ is the density, and $v(x, t)$ is determined as $v(x, t) = \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial x}$ where $\Pi(x, t)$ is the conjugate momentum:

$$\{\rho(x, t), \Pi(y, t)\} = \delta(x - y) \tag{5.3}$$

The equation (5.3) can be obtained from the collective field Hamiltonian of Das-Jevicki-type [66]

$$H(\rho, \pi) = \int dx \rho(x) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \rho^2 \right] \tag{5.4}$$

Still there is no viscosity in (5.2)–(5.4), however below we comment on its role as the effect of $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections.

What kind of critical behavior is known for the Hopf equation? The most famous pattern is the so-called "overturn" phenomena. Smooth initial configuration in the Burgers-Hopf equation gets overturned at some finite time *in a free space* and corresponding solution

becomes multivalued (see [49] for review). However, other critical pattern can occur when considering the Hopf equation *on a circle*. The initial condition, localized at some initial point, splits into two parts and spreads along the circle in in opposite directions as the time evolves. At a finite time moment two fronts moving towards each other, collide somewhere. Such critical behavior is known in the hydrodynamic description of two Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC). There are several phenomena which could be registered at a collision of two condensates , including creation of quantum shock waves and "vortex loops", as well as so-called "soliton trains". Let us note that the DK transition on an infinite line in a harmonic trap considered in the previous section, cannot be interpreted as a "gap closure" and only the "overturn" phenomenon is expected at the critical point.

The collision of fronts has been interpreted as the DK phase transition in [4], see also [33]. It was identified as follows. The density of fluid $\rho(x)$ in the hydrodynamic description is dual to the saddle point Young diagram $\rho_Y(x)$,

$$\pi\rho_Y(-\pi\rho_0(x)) = x \tag{5.5}$$

where $\rho_0(x)$ is the solution to the equation of motion in the collective fields theory taken at a middle time. In terms of the Young diagram, the DK transition corresponds to emergence of the plateau region and two-cut elliptic solution. It was argued in [4] that such a behavior can be interpreted as the "gap closure" in the fluid density on the circle at strong coupling, meaning that at some finite time the whole circle becomes filled by the fluid of strong coupling phase. The time of a "gap closure" corresponds to the DK transition point.

It is worth interpreting this phenomena in terms of vicious Brownian walks aka world lines of free fermions. In the case of free fermions on the circle, the critical behavior is formulated as follows. We start with the properly prepared initial configuration and ask the following question: what is the probability to reach the specific final state at some given time? It is this probability which enjoys the critical behavior at the "head collision" regime. This criticality is the manifestation of a sort of "incommensurability" between distribution of extremities and the parameters of the system (world line length, diffusion coefficient, size of the lattice). Certainly, there is no such critical behavior for finite $N$, it is purely collective effect emerging at $N \to \infty$.

Related problem has been discussed in [31] where this formulation of problem has been considered in the context of the "orthogonality catastrophe". To explain it in the hydrodynamic terms, it is convenient to use the fermion language for the integrable hierarchies. The generic $\tau$-functions allows the representation as the matrix elements of the fermion operators

$$\tau_n(t_k) = \left\langle n \left| \prod \Psi(x_i) \exp\left(\sum_{p,q} A_{p,q}\psi_q^+\psi_p\right) \exp(t_k J_k) \right| m \right\rangle \tag{5.6}$$

where the coherent state is parametrized by the $A_{p,q}$. There are several different representation of $\tau$ functions, however in any case it is just some fermionic matrix element where the chiral fermions live on the punctured sphere. This formulation fits with the problem under consideration. Indeed, we have matrix elements when the number of fermions is the same in the initial and final states and the fermionic initial and final states are specially

prepared. It is convenient to consider the semiclassical limit, where once again we get the Burgers-Hopf equation for the dependence of the matrix element on the "time" variables [31]. In the quantum case the shock wave in the Hopf equation gets substituted by the quantum shock wave and the semiclassical behavior does not work in the critical region.

If we consider the Calogero case where the fermions get substituted by the particles with anyonic statistics, the equation of motion in the collective field theory gets generalized to the Benjamen-Ono equation [32]

$$\frac{\partial u(t,z)}{\partial t} = u\frac{\partial u(t,z)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 u^H(t,z)}{\partial z^2} \tag{5.7}$$

where $u^H(t,z)$ is the Hilbert transform

$$u^H(t,z) = \frac{1}{\pi} P\int dz\, \frac{u(t,z')}{z-z'} \tag{5.8}$$

Once again, there is a kind of "head collision" phenomena, however the interaction amounts to another "resolution of the singularity": instead of entering into the pure quantum region producing quantum shock waves, the system remains semiclassical and the new solution, the "solitonic train" is developed. Moreover, the critical value of the time at which the regime get changed, is finite. As we have seen above, the critical time in the Calogero case, is the free one divided by the Calogero coupling constant, $t^{(\beta)}_{crit} = \beta^{-1} t_{crit}$. Hence, we can talk about the critical behavior in the coupling constant. It is this formulation as criticality in the coupling constant, which is used in the similar situation for the nonlinear Schrodinger equation.

The most difficult question concerns the interpretation of strong coupling phase driven by the instantons. Since here we stay in the frameworks of the hydrodynamic description, the proper pattern for the instanton driven phase has to be recognized. There are several conjectures concerning the strong coupling phase of the hydrodynamical description in the literature. It was suggested in [33] that a kind of turbulent behavior is developed with the inverse cascades. A bit different picture has been mentioned in [34] when the strong coupling phase was related to the formation of a kind of the chiral condensate breaking the global $U(1)$ symmetry. We suggest in Section 6 that a kind of superfluid component has been created upon the collision of two shocks (i.e. in the gap closure). This conjecture is based on the relation between the superfluid density and the winding distribution. Let us emphasize the we mean the so-called the non-equilibrium superfluidity when it emerges in the state out-of -equilibrium. In our case we start with very specific state slaved in a narrow region. When the system gets released, we arrive at a very specific head collision phenomena which forces the creation of the superfluid component. Nevertheless, the term "superfluidity" we exploit below, should be used with some caution.

### 5.2 Hydrodynamic limit with of VW with $N^{-1}$-corrections and generating function of area-preserving Brownian Excursion

It is useful to compare the effect of viscosity on the both sides of the RW-YM correspondence. In 2D YM the viscosity has been identified as the effect of $1/N$ corrections in the

collective field theory [71] $\nu = \frac{1}{N}$. Let us consider that the viscosity can be considered as the $\frac{1}{N}$ effect on the RW side as well. To this aim it is convenient to consider the asymptotics (B.11) which describes the scaling of top line in a bunch of directed vicious walks. Proceeding as in [72], take the ensemble of $N$ vicious walkers, define the averaged position of the top line and consider its fluctuations near the averaged position. In such a description all vicious walkers lying below the top line play a role of a "mean field", which pushes the top line to some "atypical" equilibrium position, around which it fluctuates. This point of view is schematically illustrated by the Fig.8, where in Fig.8a we have a bunch of $N$ vicious walkers of length $t$ fluctuating in within the strip of width $L$, while in Fig.8b we have a single random walk of the same length, $t$ with fixed area $A$ under the curve fluctuating within the strip of width $L$.




**Figure 8**. a) Reunion of a bunch of $N$ random walks of lengths $t$ each within a strip of width $L$, b) Probability of a Brownian excursion of length $t$ with fixed area $A$ measured in terms of filled plaquettes along the dotted lines under the curve within the strip of width $L$.

It is naturally to suppose that the fluctuations of the top line in a mean-field approximation have the same scaling as the fluctuations of the "inflated" Brownian excursion with fixed area under the path. One actually can show that, following the line of reasoning of the work [33]. The solution of the inviscid Burgers equation (5.2) is $u_0(x, t = N) = \frac{x}{2N} \pm \frac{\sqrt{x^2-4N}}{2N}$ and gives the Wigner semicircle law centered at the point $\frac{x}{2N}$. One can smear the function $u_0(x, t)$ near the boundary value, $x = x_c = \pm 2\sqrt{N}$, adding by hands the Gaussian fluctuations, i.e. passing to the Burgers equation with a weak negative diffusivity ($0 < \nu \ll 1$),

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} + u(x,t)\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} = -\nu \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \tag{5.9}$$

It is known that negative diffusivity is responsible for the turbulence [73]. Seeking for weakly perturbed solutions of Burgers equation near the top line, ($t = N$), in the form [33]

$$\begin{cases} x = x_c + \nu^\alpha y = 2t^{1/2} + \nu^\alpha y; \\ u(x,t) = \dfrac{x_c}{2t} + \nu^\beta w(s,t) = t^{-1/2} + \nu^\beta w(y,t) \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

and substituting the ansatz for $u(x, t)$ into the viscous Burgers equation, one gets the equation for $w(y, t)$, which for $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = 1/3$ and appropriate boundary conditions is transformed in the limit $\nu \to 0$ into the dimensionless Ricatti equation [33]

$$-yt^{-3/2} + \frac{1}{2}w^2 + \partial_y w = 0, \tag{5.11}$$

having the solution (for $t = N$)

$$w(z) = 2\frac{d}{dz}\ln \mathrm{Ai}(2^{-1/3}z) \quad z = yN^{-1/2}. \tag{5.12}$$

One can recognize in (5.12) the singular part of the grand partition function of the "area+length"–weighted Brownian excursions (Dyck paths). Thus, one can straightforwardly identify $Z(s,q)$ with $u(y,N) = N^{-1/2} + \nu^{1/3}w(y,N)$ under the following redefinitions:

$$\begin{cases} 1-q \leftrightarrow \nu, \\ \frac{1}{4} - s \leftrightarrow 2^{-7/3}yN^{1/6}. \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

where $q$ is the fugacity of the area $A$ and $s$ is the fugacity for length, $t$. The area fugacity defines the mean area under the trajectory which is is fixed by the total number of walkers. Indeed the viscosity involved has the $1/N$ scaling behavior.

The connection between the bunch of vicious walks in the strip, and Dyck paths with the fixed area in the strip is considered in the Appendix B. We outline there the computation of the partition function $Z_{2n}(A)$ of $2n$-step directed random walk with the fixed area, $A$ in the strip of width $L$ on the square lattice tilted by $\pi/4$ as shown in the Fig.8.

## 6 DK transition for black hole partition function

In this Section using the relation between the magnetically charged extremal BH and 2D $q$-YM theory we will make a conjecture concerning the meaning of DK phase transition at BH side and the Browinian stochastic dynamics of the branes representing magnetic charges of BH.

### 6.1 BH partition function in mixed representation

Consider the partition function of the extremal $N = 2$ black hole with the electric, $p_i$, and magnetic, $q_i$, charges. The extremal charged SUSY BH in four dimensions is created by $N$ magnetic $D4$–branes wrapped around the non-compact four manifold $C_4 = O(-p) \to CP^1$ in the internal non-compact CY space $M = O(p-2) \times O(-p) \to CP^1$. The moduli of the extremal BH solution are fixed by their charges via attractor equations. The topological string on the manifold $M$ engineers the $U(1)$ $N = 1$ SYM theory on $R^4 \times S^1$ and the parameter $p$ in the CY geometry corresponds to the coefficient in front of $5D$ Chern-Simons term. The Kähler modulus of $CP^1$ corresponds to the $5D$ gauge coupling constant. The non-compact $D4$ brane corresponds, from the 5D gauge theory viewpoint, to a local infinitely heavy particle in $R^4$. $D2$ branes wrapped around $CP^1$ correspond to the gauge theory instantons which carry the electric charges $Q_E = pQ_{top}$ due to the Witten effect.

Define the entropy as a function of its charges, $S\{p_i, q_i\}$ which counts the bound states multiplicity of electric $D0$ and $D2$ branes on the $D4$ brane. Formally we add the observables corresponding to the $D0$ and $D2$ branes into the topologically twisted $N = 4$ SYM on the $D4$ brane with $S^2 \times C$ worldvolume. The entropy of the 4D BPS black hole [17] can be written in terms of asymptotic values of the moduli in vector multiplet parameterized by the complex variables $X_i$. The dual variable are defined through the prepotential $F_0$,

$X_{D,i} = \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial X_i}$. The real parts of the periods are fixed by the attractor equations in terms of the electric and magnetic charges of the black holes $(p_i, q_i)$

$$p_i = Re[CX_i] \tag{6.1}$$

$$q_i = Re[CX_{D,i}] \tag{6.2}$$

where $C$ - is constant. The Bekenshtein-Hawking entropy reads as

$$S_{BH} = \frac{Area}{4} = iC\bar{C}(\bar{X}_i X_{D,i} - X_i \bar{X}_{D,i}) \tag{6.3}$$

and can be also written in terms of the holomorphic volume form of Calabi-Yau

$$S_{BH} = \int \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \tag{6.4}$$

Let us introduce the variables $\phi_i, \chi_i$ as imaginary parts of the periods

$$\begin{cases} CX_i = p_i + \frac{i}{\pi}\phi_i \\ CX_{D,i} = q_i + \frac{i}{\pi}\chi_i \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

The variables $\phi_i, \chi_i$ have the meaning of the chemical potentials for the magnetic and electric charges. The BH entropy can be defined via the Legendre transform

$$S_{BH}(p,q) = \mathcal{F}(\phi, p) - \phi_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi_i} \tag{6.6}$$

in terms of the prepotential taken in the mixed representation which is related to the mixed partition function of the black hole

$$Z_{BH}(\phi_i, p_i) = \sum_{q_i} \Omega(p_i, q_i) \exp(-\phi_i q_i) \tag{6.7}$$

as follows

$$Z_{BH}(\phi_i, p_i) = \exp(\mathcal{F}(\phi_i, p_i)) \tag{6.8}$$

One can also consider the dual polarization for the partition sum of the black hole $Z_{D,BH}(\chi_i, q_i)$ which depends on the magnetic charges and the electric chemical potentials.

Remind that the microcanonical entropy of the black hole is conventionally defined as $log\Omega(q_i, p_i)$ and doesn,t generically coincide with the Bekenshtein-Hawking entropy. It is important that the black hole degeneracies have an interesting interpretation as the Wigner function of the Whitham dynamical system taken at the attractor point

$$\Omega(q_i, p_i) = \int dy e^{ipy} \Psi(q + iy)\Psi(q - iy) \tag{6.9}$$

where $\Psi(q)$ can be considered as the wave function for the Whitham theory in the particular polarization. Equivalently, it has the meaning of the wave function of the topological string on the CY manifold.

It is worth emphasizing that there are $D2$ branes with different orientations. Later we shall be interested in the particular one representing the 2D YM instantons in the $D4$ worldvolume theory. The convenient analysis has been performed in [58] where it was shown that the 2D instantons get lifted to the t'Hooft loops linked with $S^2$ in the $D4$ worldvolume theory.

### 6.2 $Z_{BH} = Z_{q2dYM}$

The key point is the identification of the BH partition function in the mixed representation with the 2D $q$-YM on some Riemann surface. The terms in the action on $D4$ brane wrapped $C_4$ involve the chemical potentials for the electric charges

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2g_s}\int_{C_4} \mathrm{Tr} F\wedge F + \frac{\theta}{g_s}\int_{C_4}\mathrm{Tr} F\wedge K \tag{6.10}$$

where $K$ is the Kähler class of the submanifold inside $C_4$. The path integral with this action turns out to coincide with the partition function of 2dqYM and reads as

$$Z_{BH} = Z^{qYM} = \sum_{q_1,q_2}\Omega(q_0,q_1,N)\exp\left(-\frac{4\pi^2}{g_s}q_0 - \frac{2\pi\theta}{g_s}q_1\right) \tag{6.11}$$

where $\Omega(q_0,q_1,N)$ can be identified as the degeneracy of $D0\to D2\to D4$ bound states with charges $(q_0,q_1,N)$ correspondingly. The partition function involves the chemical potentials for $D0$ and $D2$ branes

$$\phi_0 = \frac{4\pi^2}{g_s} \qquad \phi_1 = \frac{2\pi\theta}{g_s} \tag{6.12}$$

The topological string coupling $g_s$ is related with the 2d YM coupling $g_{YM}$ via relation

$$g_{YM}^2 = pg_s \tag{6.13}$$

### 6.3 DK for black hole

Let us comment qualitatively on the following question postponing more detailed analysis for the separate study: "What happens with the magnetically charged BH at the transition critical line?". First consider the equation defining the critical line in the $q$-YM:

$$A_{crit} = p^2\log\left(1+\tan^2\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)\right), \qquad p>2 \tag{6.14}$$

where the magnetic $N$ $D4$ branes are wrapped around $O(-p)\to CP^1$ in CY. The critical point in pure YM is restored at $p\to\infty$ limit when $g_sp$ remains finite.

As in the $q$-YM case we expect the chain of phase transitions when the different color orientations of "monopole" $D2$ branes representing instantons in the 2D YM and 't Hooft loops in 4D $SU(N)$ theory on $D4$ branes dominate. Let us emphasize that the 't Hooft loops are linked with the $D2$ branes wrapping $S^2$ [58] that is with bulk instantons in the $5D$ gauge theory at $R^4\times S^1$. It is interesting that we therefore get the linking of the electric and instanton degrees of freedom corresponding to two types of $D2$ branes.

In terms of the "monopole" $D2$ branes the DK phase transition sounds as follows. Consider the BH with large magnetic charge $Q_m = pN$ and vary the chemical potential for the $D0$ branes which is the Kähler class of the base sphere. At some value of the chemical potential we fall into the strong coupling phase driven by the t'Hooft monopole loops in representation with some charge vector. In the next section we shall conjecture that a kind of the superfluid component is developed. From the observer at the BH the transition

looks as sudden transition from the electrically neutral BH to the state with the Gaussian distribution of the charges corresponding to the 't Hooft loops on $D4$ branes.

We can speculate that the DK transition in the extremal magnetic BH corresponds to the transition discussed in [52]. For the electrically charged BH there is so-called superconducting phase transition (see [55] for the review) which corresponds holographically to the transition to the superconducting state in the boundary theory. The physics in the bulk behind this transition allows the following interpretation. At some value of the chemical potential it becomes favorable for the BH to polarize the bulk, and the electric charge at the horizon gets screened while the effective condensate of the charged scalar in the bulk emerges. In the magnetic case, we expect a similar picture: let us start with the magnetically charged BH and vary the parameters of the solution. At the critical point it becomes favorable to have monopole wall instead of the extremal magnetic BH. Similarly, we have magnetic polarization of the bulk, the horizon gets discharged, and a kind of the monopole condensate emerges in the bulk. The transition in the flat space takes place at

$$v = cg_s \tag{6.15}$$

where $v$ is VEV of the scalar. Therefore, we have some matching with the above conjecture. The value of the scalars from the vector multiplet are fixed by the attractor mechanism. On the other hand, in [52] the scalars from nonabelian group are fixed at the transition point as well.

### 6.4 BH and random walks

Since we know the relation between the partition function of the $q$-YM and the Brownian reunion probability, we can question about the stochastic random walk interpretation of the black hole entropy counting. Remind that the near horizon geometry of the BH is $AdS_2 \times S^2$ and the entropy counting certainly is related to the $AdS_2$ part of the geometry. Another inspiring relation concerns the interplay between the BH partition function and $c = 1$ string at selfdual radius [18]. The fermion representation of $c = 1$ model is related with the fermion representation of the 2D YM theory on the sphere.

So far, the random walk interpretation of the BH entropy concerns the behavior of the long string near the BH horizon at the almost Hagedorn temperature. The idea goes back to [45] when it was suggested that the BH entropy comes from the degeneracy of the states of a single long string wrapped the BH stretched horizon. In [46] dealing with the representation of the string gas, it was argued that the single long string behaves as the random walker, and the string with unit winding number dominates near the Hagedorn transition [47]. This winding mode corresponds to the thermal scalar which becomes tachionic at the Hagedorn temperature. The picture of the wound long string as the random walker has been generalized for more general geometries in [48]. In particular, the relation between the Hagedorn and Hawking temperatures plays the key role for establishing this correspondence for the non-extremal black holes [48].

The discussed picture becomes more rich in our case for extremal magnetically charged BH. First of all let us emphasize that so far we considered the Brownian $D4$ branes which

undergo the stochastic dynamics. Moreover, we have large $N$ number of Brownian branes. The Brownian $D4$ branes are extended in CY space and the stochasticy comes from the interaction with the strings. Since we are looking for the extremal BH the Hawking temperature vanishes. Each Brownian brane carries a huge multiplicity due to the bound states with $D2$ and $D0$ branes and additional t'Hooft loops.

Since the mixed partition function of the BH $Z(N + i\phi_0)$ can be identified with the reunion probability of $N$ stochastic fermions on a circle for the time $T$, $P_{reun}(N, T)$, to get the Bekenstein entropy as the function of the magnetic and electric charges $S(N, Q_E)$ we have to perform the Laplace transform with respect to the electric chemical potential. On the other hand since we have identified the electric chemical potential with the time of reunion on the RW side we have to perform the Laplace transform with respect to the reunion time T

$$\int dT \exp(-ET) \left\langle N, x = 0 | e^{-\hat{H}T} | N, x = 0 \right\rangle \propto Tr \left( \frac{1}{\hat{H} - E} \right) \tag{6.16}$$

Hence we get the resolvent for the system of $N$ non-interacting fermions and the energy $E$ plays the role of the electric charge $Q_E$ at the BH side. The imaginary part of the resolvent indeed is the density of states at the fixed "'electric charge $E$". We restrict ourselves here with these preliminary remarks concerning the mapping the BH entropy and the reunion probability postponing it to the separate study.

Could we make any link of our picture with the previous long string one? The following possibility can be mentioned. We could expect that the stochastic Brownian description deals with a kind of holographic picture in $AdS_2$ geometry. The Brownian walker has been identified with the stochastic motion of the end of the string extended in radial coordinate from the boundary to the stretched horizon [38, 39]. Since we have large N stochastic fermions at the boundary we have large N strings extended in the $AdS_2$ along the discussion about SLE above. In principle we could imagine that this strings joins together in the bulk forming one long string touching the boundary at $N$ points. In this case the winding of the $N$-fermion states corresponds to the winding of the single long string. However certainly much more detailed analysis is required to support this possibility.

## 7 Topological susceptibility – the way to superfluidity

### 7.1 Winding distribution in superfluid

In this Section we conjecture that the instanton driven strong coupling phase enjoys a kind of the superfluid component. The ground for this conjecture comes from the particular representation of the density of the superfluid component [59–61] in terms of the microscopic degrees of freedom which we shall briefly remind now.

In the context of superfluidity there are two ways to introduce the superfluid density. One of them is defined in terms of the effective macroscopic low energy mean field theory described in terms of the complex field $\Psi(x)$ which can be considered as the condensate wave function. Its phase $\Phi$ provides the description of the superfluid component. In

particular the current is defined as

$$J = \gamma |\Psi|^2 (\nabla \Phi(x) - A_g(x)) \tag{7.1}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{\hbar}{m}$ and $A_g(x)$ is the auxiliary gauge field which is known as the graviphoton field. It corresponds to the particular deformation of the flat metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - (d\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}_g dt)^2 \tag{7.2}$$

and its curvature defines the angular velocity vector $\Omega_i$ :

$$\Omega_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_{g,k} \tag{7.3}$$

Remind that the superfluidity can be considered as the nontrivial response to the external graviphoton field in the same sense as the superconductivity is the nontrivial response to the external electromagnetic field. The superfluid density is defined via the following term in the effective action

$$F_{eff} = \frac{\rho_S}{2} \int dx (\partial_x \Phi)^2 \tag{7.4}$$

Since we are dealing with the one-dimensional system the validity of this definition requires the additional condition of long relaxation times of the current states labeled by the integer topological number

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint \partial_x \Phi \tag{7.5}$$

The superfluid velocity equals to

$$v_s = \gamma \frac{\langle I \rangle}{L_x} \tag{7.6}$$

Contrary to the mean field effective description of the superfluid density, the microscopic definition concerns the first quantized language and involves the statistics of the total worldline winding number $M = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i$, where $n_i$ is the winding of the individual degree of freedom. To count the individual windings it is useful to introduce the Wilson loop of the graviphoton gauge field along the closed path

$$\oint A_g dx = \phi_0 + 2\pi K \tag{7.7}$$

where $K$ is an integer and $\phi_0$ is a twist. For the homogeneous system with periodic boundary conditions along the x-axis the twist corresponds to the particular gauge potential

$$A_{g,x} = \phi_0 / L_x \tag{7.8}$$

where $L_x$ is the system size in this direction. Equivalently, the twist can be defined via nontrivial boundary condition for the wave function. According to (7.1) the system features a uniform flux proportional to the twist $\phi_0$. In the case of the torus geometry the persistent current through the torus cross section can be expressed as

$$J = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi_0} \tag{7.9}$$

where $F$ is the free energy of the system.

The response of the system to the twist serves as second tool to describe the superfluid component and it relates the macroscopic and microscopic descriptions. Introduce the topological susceptibility

$$\rho_s^{\phi} = \frac{L_x^2}{\gamma V} \frac{d^2 F}{d^2 \phi_0}\bigg|_{\phi_0=0} \tag{7.10}$$

The statistical distribution of the macroscopic topological number $I$ in $x$-direction in the superfluid is

$$P(I) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma \rho_s V (2\pi I + \phi_0)^2}{2TL_x^2}\right) \tag{7.11}$$

where $\rho_s$ is density of the superfluid component and T is a temperature.

To fit together the microscopic and macroscopic descriptions it is convenient to exploit two representations of the $\theta$-functions which relate the statistical distributions of the macroscopic topological number $I$ and the total winding number $M$ in the microscopic description

$$Z(\phi) \propto \sum_{I=-\infty}^{I=+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_s}{2RT}(2\pi I - \phi)^2\right) = \sum_{M=-\infty}^{M=+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{RT}{2\rho_s} M^2 - iM\phi\right) \tag{7.12}$$

In what follows we shall use the occurrence of the Gaussian distribution over the total microscopic winding number $M$ in the instanton driven phase above the DK transition point as the indication of the non-vanishing superfluid density.

It is remarkable that the topological susceptibility is closely related to the density of superfluid component [59, 60]. In $d \geq 3$ $\rho_s^{\phi} = \rho_s$ while in lower dimensions they do not coincide however the relation holds [62]

$$\rho_s^{\phi} = \rho_s \left(1 - \frac{4\pi^2 \gamma \rho_s \left\langle I^2 \right\rangle}{TL_x^2}\right) \tag{7.13}$$

Therefore the non-vanishing topological susceptibility implies the non-vanishing density of the superfluid component. Let us emphasize that in the one-dimensional space the superfluidity is a subtle phenomena and not all typical superfluid phenomena hold in $1+1$. It was argued that the drug force could substitute the order parameter [74] however the susceptibility as the measure of the superfluid density still works.

### 7.2 $\theta$-term versus twist in superfluid

Let us demonstrate a close analogy between the twist and the conventional $\theta$-term in the 2D YM theory, which implies that the non-vanishing topological susceptibility in the 2D YM theory can be considered as the counterpart of the superfluid density. The $\theta$-term can be added to the 2D YM action as a chemical potential for the topological charges of the abelian instantons, which are classical solutions to the equations of motion in the 2D YM on $S^2$. In the Hamiltonian framework the $\theta$-term enters in the following way:

$$Z_g = \int [dA][d\Phi] \exp\left(\int_{\sigma_g} d\sigma \mathrm{Tr}\, \Phi F + g_{YM}^2 \mathrm{Tr}\, \Phi^2 + \theta \mathrm{Tr}\, \Phi\right). \tag{7.14}$$

and the partition function reads

$$Z_g(g_{YM}, A, \theta) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \Delta^{2-2g}(\boldsymbol{n}) \exp\left(-Ag_{YM}^2 \sum n_i^2 - i\theta \sum n_i\right) \tag{7.15}$$

where $\theta$ parameter plays the role of the chemical potential for the total $U(1)$ instanton number.

What is the counterpart of the $\theta$ parameter for the vicious walkers? Comparison with the instanton representation of YM partition function yields the following term in the Lagrangian of the particle system on a circle

$$\Delta L = \theta \sum_i \dot{x}_i \sum_k \exp\left(-\frac{N(y-x+2\pi k)^2}{2t} + 2\pi i k\theta\right) \tag{7.16}$$

Therefore the YM-VW mapping implies that $\theta$-term is the chemical potential for the total winding in the random walk problem similar to the twist $\phi_0$ mentioned above.

Now we are at position to utilize the relation (7.13) in the YM and VW frameworks. The key point is that the distribution of microscopic total winding number in RW or topological charge in 2D YM can be obtained explicitly [57]. At $t < t_c = L^2/4N$, the probability for a system to have a non-zero winding is exponentially small,

$$\mathbb{P}(M=0) = 1 - \mathcal{O}(e^{-cN}). \tag{7.17}$$

Near the critical time, $t = t_c$, there are finite probabilities to get $M = 0, M = 1, M = -1$

$$\mathbb{P}(M=0) = 1 - \frac{q(s)}{2^{1/3}N^{1/3}} + ...; \qquad \mathbb{P}(M=1) = \mathbb{P}(M=-1) = \frac{q(s)}{2^{4/3}N^{1/3}} + ... \tag{7.18}$$

where $q(s)$ is the solution to the Painleve II equation

$$q''(s) = sq(s) + 2q(s)^3 \tag{7.19}$$

which has the Airy asymptotics

$$q(s) = Ai(s), \qquad s \to +\infty \tag{7.20}$$

The variable $s$ is defined as follows

$$t = \pi^2\left(1 - \frac{s}{(2N)^{2/3}}\right) \tag{7.21}$$

Let us emphasize that $\langle M^2 \rangle \neq 0$ at the critical point.

At $t > t_c$, the probability to have a specific total winding number $M$ is given by a Gaussian distribution centered at zero,

$$\mathbb{P}(M) = Ce^{-\kappa M^2} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}). \tag{7.22}$$

where $\kappa$ is an implicit function of $t$, given in terms of full elliptic integrals:

$$\kappa = -\frac{\pi K(k')}{K(k)}, \qquad t = 8E(k)K(k) - (1-k^2)K^2(k), \qquad k' = \sqrt{1-k^2}. \tag{7.23}$$

Hence we can map the strong coupling phase with the superfluid density

$$\rho_s^{-1} = \frac{\pi K(k')}{K(k)} \tag{7.24}$$

and the very DK transition acquires the interpretation as transition to the superfluid phase. Let us emphasize that our consideration can be considered as the evidence in favor of the superfluid interpretation of DK transition however more detailed study is required.

### 7.3 Comment on the BEC conjecture for Black Holes

Recently the new conjecture concerning the microscopic degrees of freedom at the BH horizon has been formulated [63]. It was supposed that the horizon can be interpreted as the BE condensate of gravitons at the quantum phase transition point. The BE condensate can be effectively described by the Gross-Pitaevsky Hamiltonian or the nonlinear Schrödinger system which can be thought as the thermodynamical limit for the many-body Lieb-Liniger system on the circle

$$H = \sum_i \partial_i^2 + c \sum_{i \neq j} \delta(x_i - x_j) \tag{7.25}$$

It is assumed that coupling c corresponds to attraction. It is known that the BES undergoes the transition from the homogeneous phase to the bright soliton inhomogeneous solution.

The system can be solved via Bethe anzatz equations which reads as

$$e^{ik_i L} = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{k_i - k_j - ic}{k_i + k_j + ic} \tag{7.26}$$

and have been recently analyzed in the thermodynamical limit [64]. It was argued that the saddle point solution looks as the saddle point solution in the 2d YM matrix model representation of the partition sum

$$gk = 2 \int \frac{\rho(u) du}{k - u} \tag{7.27}$$

where $g = cLN = \text{const}$. Hence the transition to the inhomogeneous solitonic phase of BEC presumably can be considered as an analogue of the DK phase transition which however is of the 2nd order in BEC case.

The BEC and superfluidity have the close cousin hence it is natural to look for the possible link with the current study. We have nothing to say about the condensate of gravitons however the relation of Lieb-Liniger system with Yang-Mills theory provides some interplay with BH with large magnetic charge discussed above. The quantum Lieb-Liniger system is closely related with the deformed quantum 2d gauge topological theories [65]. Namely the Bethe anzatz equation defined the ground state of 2d topological YM theory coupled with the additional Higgs field in adjoint representation. It can be considered as the large k limit of Chern-Simons-Higgs system. The mass of the Higgs field corresponds to the coupling constant in Lieb-Liniger system therefore the decoupling of the Higgs field in the gauge theory corresponds to the infinite coupling in the many-body system. It is known that in this limit the Lieb-Liniger system gets reduced to the system of free fermions

via the Tonks-Girardeu transform in agreement with the free fermion representation of the CS and topological 2d YM theories without the Higgs field.

We would like to keep coupling finite and question if the Lieb-Liniger system has something to do with the BH horizon as suspected in [63].The free fermion limit certainly provides the starting point - we deal with the BH with large magnetic charge N. As before we have to consider the ensemble of BH fixing the chemical potentials of electric charges. In this case we have to consider the refined BH ensemble considered in [9] which involves the additional chemical potential responsible to the angular momentum of the BH. Once again a kind of refined index is evaluated at the BH side. The proper limit of BH parameters has to be identify the Lieb-Liniger system. To this aim note that the LL system is closely related to the p-adic zonal spherical functions while the Macdonald polynomials interpolate between the p-adic and quantum groups [82]. The refined BH ensemble is related to the (q,t) deformed 2d YM theory and the LL corresponds to the particular degeneration of parameters.

Collecting these arguments together we could suppose the following picture. Since the conventional gauge coupling in 2d YM is switched off ($p = 0$) [65] in derivation of LL system we can conclude that the chemical potential for the electric charge vanishes. However the mass of the additional Higgs field is present which implies that the chemical potential for the angular momentum is present. Hence we can conjecture that the approach of [63] could be related to the BH (or heavy particle with large entropy) with large N magnetic charge and the chemical potential for the angular momentum. The critical behavior in the LL system corresponds to some critical behavior of the ensemble of the magnetic BH with chemical potential for the angular momentum. It would be interesting to clarify the physics behind this transition at BH side.

### 7.4 Towards the Anderson localization?

The challenging open question concerns the relation of the DK-type transition with the Anderson localization. It is well known that the problem of statistics of a bunch of vicious walks is deeply connected to the statistics of directed polymer in quenched random environment. In the replica calculation of the free energy, one can associate each random walk trajectory with a particular replica. The effective interaction between replicas is induced by presence of a common quenched environment. In [76] it has been shown that the free energy, $F$, of a directed polymer of length $n$ averaged over the uncorrelated quenched random potential with the Gaussian distribution, scales as

$$F(n) = f_0\, n + f\, n^{1/3} \tag{7.28}$$

where $f_0$ and $f$ are some constants independent on $n$. The comprehensive analysis of the distribution function $P(F)$ was published in the pioneering works [77] (see also [78]), where the derivation of the distribution function $P(F)$ in the frameworks of the replica approach, has been linked to the correlation function computation for some determinant process (for determinant process see, for example, [19]). The finite size scaling behavior (7.28) rewritten as $\Delta F(n) = F(n) - F_0(n) = f\, n^{1/3}$, where $F_0 = f_0\, n$, can be qualitatively understood even

beyond the heavy machinery, since the $n \to \infty$ tail of the distribution function,

$$P(\Delta F) \sim e^{-f\, n^{1/3}} \tag{7.29}$$

shares very transparent interpretation in terms of random walks in quenched environment, as communicated to us by Victor Dotsenko [79]. Namely, the probability $P(\Delta F)$ in the one-dimensional case only can be interpreted as the survival probability of a random walk in a quenched environment of randomly distributed traps. The typical size of a "trap-free cavity" is the typical distance between neighboring vicious walks. Now, the asymptotics (7.29) follows immediately from the Balagurov-Vaks derivation of the random walk survival probability on a line in an array of traps with the Poisson distribution. Qualitatively, the result (7.29), can be obtained using the "optimal fluctuation" approach [80], and is nothing else as a "Lifshitz tail" for some localization problem. The connection to the one-dimensional Anderson localization becomes even more profound if considering the Laplace transform of (7.29):

$$P(s) = \int_{n=0}^{\infty} P(\Delta F)\, e^{-s\, n}\, dn \sim \exp\left(-\frac{c}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \tag{7.30}$$

where $c = c(f) > 0$ is some numeric constant. The physical interpretation of the Laplace transform (7.30) is very straightforward: we expect the behavior (7.30) for the distribution of free energies in a *canonical* ensemble of noninteracting directed polymers with exponential distribution of lengths (controlled by the fugacity $s$), fluctuating in a quenched random environment.

## 8 Conclusion

Our study provides the moderate step towards clarification the universal aspects behind the DK phase transitions. The three systems were considered: large-$N$ perturbed topological gauge theories, stochastic dynamics of large $N$ random walkers with and without interction and entropy of extremal 4D BH at large $N$ magnetic charge. Because of their diversity, the study provides the complimentary insights and intuition. We have no fully satisfactory picture but our findings make some new understanding of phenomena. We tried to interpret in physical terms several seemingly different phenomena dealing with the DK-type transition, paying especial attention to the construction of the unified picture.

At the random walk side we discussed the dependence of the DK phase transition on the out-of-equilibrium boundary conditions and reformulate the problem as a kind of the orthogonality catastrophe for the system of the free fermions. The generalization of the free walkers case to the stochastic process with integrable interaction has been done which is equivalent to the consideration of the matrix model with generic $\beta$-ensemble. We treated the Calogero and Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonians as the Fokker-Planck Hamiltonians for the stochastic many-body systems.

We have treated the thermal and quantum noise at the equal footing since in both cases the Langevin and FP equations rule the game. However, the interpretation of the DK transition is very different in two cases. In the thermal case we consider the process in

the real time and the DK transition admits an interpretation as one or another version of the orthogonality catastrophe for the fermion gas in the simplest case. In the framework of the stochastic quantization we deal with the stochastic or holographic time and the DK transition acquires the new a bit counter-intuitive interpretation. It claims that some phenomena happens at the *finite* holographic or stochastic time. It means the RG has some nontrivial feature at the finite RG scale. Since the conventional stochastic quantization implies that to get the correct measure in the path integral we have to move stochastic RG time to infinity, the nontrivial phenomena at finite RG time warns us that the limiting procedure has to be done with additional care.

We believe that quantization of the generic system via the matrix model and topological strings [75, 81] provides proper language for these issues. Indeed in this approach the quantization is performed within the $\beta$-ensemble of the large N matrix model which as we have seen could have the DK-like transition. On the other hand the obstacle at the finite RG holographic time is usually treated as the appearance of the BH horizon. However as we have mentioned it is necessary to distinguish between the worldsheet horizon and the horizon of the BH background and it is the worldsheet horizon which seems to be responsible for the DK transition. Anyway the holographic interpretation of the DK transition certainly deserves the further study especially due to relation with the multiple radial SLE stochastic process.

We made a conjecture about the nature of the DK transition for the extremal BH hole with large magnetic charge. Certainly, the additional work is required to verify it. Potentially the question seems to be very important since on the RW side we deal with the free fermions and one could ask the question if the formation of the BH horizon for the magnetic BH can be described in simple fermionic terms. Since the only phenomena which happens with free fermions, is the DK transition it is natural to suspect that it has something to do with the horizon formation and elimination. The possible relation with the conjectured appearance of the superfluid component, adds additional flavor to the problem and probably some superfluid property of the BH horizon in the membrane paradigm can be suspected.

The perturbed topological theories provide the playground for the knot invariants and the colored Hopf links occur in the stochastic process related with the amplitude in CS theory with particular boundary conditions [15]. It would be interesting to relate the DK critical behavior with the critical behavior found in [35] for the ensemble or torus knots. They can be connected since in the former case the single random walker propagates in the region fixed by the large-$N$ "background". The appearance of knot invariants seems very interesting in the context of the magnetically charged BH, where the algebraic invariants are related via the random walk picture to the BH entropy. This would be an analog of the relation of torus knot invariants with the particular observables in 5D SQCD via instanton-torus knot duality [51]. In the 5D SQCD we have considered the point-like object inserted in $R^4$ propagating along the $M$-theory circle, while in the knot-BH study we should consider the 4d BH inserted at some point in $R^3$ and propagating in time.

Finally note the question concerning the $e^{-N}$ corrections which we almost have not touched. They contain an interesting physics in all their incarnations. In particular, they

correspond to the creation of baby Universes at BH side [85], to the obstacle for the chiral factorization in the 2D YM theory and to the effects of the fermion tunnelling in the context of matrix models. It would be interesting to discuss these issues in the context of the DK transition and in more general framework of the stochastic quantization.

The reader has certainly realized that the unifying theme for the different approaches and viewpoints considered in the paper is the formation of a kind of horizon starting from the state in out-of-equilibrium during the stochastic evolution. We believe that results of the paper and the conjectures made can be of use for further-coming studies in this direction.


## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to K. Bulycheva for the collaboration at the early stage of the work. We would like to thank A. Abanov, Victor Dotsenko, D. Kharzeev, N. Nekrasov, G. Oshanin, J. Policastro, N. Prokof'ev, R. Santachiara, G. Schehr, N. Sopenko, P. Wiegmann, and A. Zhitnitsky for the useful discussions. The work is supported by Russian Science Foundation grant 14-050-00150.


## A Appendix. Multiple vicious walkers and the Fokker-Planck equation

It has been pointed out in [86] that besides the reunion probability $P_N(T,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$, one can similarly compute the joint probability distribution, $P_N(\tau_1,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ of $N$ one-dimensional vicious walks on the infinite line at some fixed time, $\tau_1 = aT$, where $0 \le a \le 1$ where extremities at each end of the paths are contracted to a point. The function $P_N(\tau_1,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} P_N(\tau_1,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) &= P_N(\tau_1,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{x})P_N(\tau_2,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0}) \\ &\propto \left(a(1-a)T^2\right)^{-N^2/2}\prod_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)^2\, e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\tau_1}+\frac{1}{T-\tau_1}\right)\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2} \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Let us introduce the rescaled variable $z = Ta(1-a)$, and consider slightly more general probability distribution, namely

$$\tilde{P}_N(z,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) \propto z^{-N^2/2}\prod_{i<j}|x_i-x_j|^{2\beta}\, e^{-\frac{1}{2z}\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2} \tag{A.2}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{P}_N(z,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) \equiv \tilde{P}_N^{(eq)}(z,\mathbf{x}(z)) \propto z^{-N^2/2}e^{-2\beta V(\mathbf{x})};\quad V(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{i<j}\ln|x_i-x_j| + \frac{1}{4\beta z}\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \tag{A.3}$$

It has been shown in [87] that $\tilde{P}_N^{(eq)}(z,\mathbf{x}(z))$ in (A.3) is the stationary Gibbs measure for stochastic evolution of the nonstationary distribution function, $\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})$ in time, $t$,

$$\tilde{P}_N^{(eq)}(z,\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.4}$$

where the function $\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\partial V(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i}\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})\right) \tag{A.5}$$

Equation (A.5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x}); \quad \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\beta}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(e^{-2\beta V(\mathbf{x})}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}e^{2\beta V(\mathbf{x})}\right) \tag{A.6}$$

Writing $\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})$ as

$$\tilde{P}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\beta V(\mathbf{x})/2}\tilde{W}(t,z,\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.7}$$

and substituting this expression into (A.5), we get for the function $\tilde{W}(t,z,\mathbf{x})$ the nonstationary Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{W}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2\beta}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{W}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j^2} - U(\mathbf{x})\tilde{W}_N(t,z,\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.8}$$

where the potential $U(\mathbf{x})$ has a supersymmetric structure

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial V(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2 V(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j^2} \tag{A.9}$$

For the the potential $V(\mathbf{x})$ defined in (A.3) we have

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = (\beta-1)\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{(x_j-x_i)^2} + \frac{1}{8\beta z^2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}x_i^2 - \frac{N\big((N-1)\beta+\big)}{2\beta z} \tag{A.10}$$

One can rewrite the Schrödinger equation (A.8) with the potential (A.10) in dimensionless time, $\sigma = t\beta^{-1}$. Such change of variables sets the diffusion coefficient equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ as it should be in one-dimensional lattice system:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{W}_N(\sigma,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{W}_N(\sigma,z,\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j^2} - \left(\sum_{i<j}^{N}\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{(x_j-x_i)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{x_i^2}{8z^2} - \frac{c(N)}{z}\right)\tilde{W}_N(\sigma,z,\mathbf{x}) \tag{A.11}$$

where $c(N) = \frac{N((N-1)\beta+1)}{2}$ is independent on $\sigma$ and $\mathbf{x}$.

Let us rescale $x_j = \sqrt{z}y_j$ for all $1 \le j \le N$. Due to (A.3), (A.4) and (A.7), the equilibrium distribution of (A.11) at $\sigma \to \infty$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{W}_N(\tau,\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_j^2} = \left(\sum_{i<j}^{N}\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{(y_j-y_i)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{y_i^2}{8} - c(N)\right)\tilde{W}_N(\tau,\mathbf{y}) \tag{A.12}$$

whose asymptotic solution is $\tilde{W}_N^{(eq)}(z,\mathbf{y}) = \lim\limits_{\sigma\to\infty}\tilde{W}_N(s,z,\mathbf{y}) = e^{-\beta V(\mathbf{y})}$ with

$$V(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{4\beta}\sum_{i=1}^{N}y_i^2 - \sum_{i<j}\ln|y_i-y_j| + \text{const} \tag{A.13}$$

Consider now the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation at intermediate times $\sigma$, at which the distribution function $\tilde{W}_N(\sigma, z, \mathbf{x})$ is not yet completely equilibrated. Performing the Laplace transform of the function $\tilde{W}_N(\sigma, z, \mathbf{y})$ with respect to $\sigma$,

$$\tilde{W}_N(p, z, \mathbf{y}) = \int_0^\infty \tilde{W}_N(\sigma, z, \mathbf{y})\, e^{-p\sigma}\, d\sigma$$

we arrive at the following Laplace-transformed Schrödinger equation (A.11) for the function $\tilde{W}_N(p, z, \mathbf{y})$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{W}_N(p, z, \mathbf{y})}{\partial y_j^2} = \left( \sum_{i<j}^{N} \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{(y_j - y_i)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i^2}{8} - \big(c(N) - zp\big) \right) \tilde{W}_N(p, z, \mathbf{x}) \qquad \text{(A.14)}$$

Now we can note that the time $\sigma$ is connected in average to the parameter $z$. Namely, comparing (A.12) and (A.14), we see that upon identification

$$c(N) - zp = z' c(N) \qquad \text{(A.15)}$$

the equations (A.12) and (A.14) become equivalent. Thus, the *avearge* time, $\langle\sigma\rangle \sim p^{-1}$ can be identified with the parameter $z'$ as follows

$$\langle\sigma\rangle = \frac{z}{c(N)(1-z')} \qquad \text{(A.16)}$$

Since $z = Ta(1-a)$, we can rewrite (A.16) as

$$\langle\sigma\rangle = \frac{T}{c(N)} \frac{a(1-a)}{1-a'(1-a')}, \qquad 1 - a'(1-a') > 0 \qquad \text{(A.17)}$$

Thus, if for the infinite time $\sigma \to \infty$ (i.e. for the complete equilibration) we have chosen the crossection of the bunch of vicious walks at $aT$, then for the partial equilibration of the system at time $\langle\sigma\rangle \sim p^{-1}$ we have to select a crossection $a'$.

The hidden supersymmetry of the Fokker-Planck equation associated with the self-adjoint representation (A.6) and corresponding Schrödinger equation (A.8) with the SUSY potential (A.9) has been discovered in [22]. The Gibbs measure corresponds to the zero mode, which implies that the SUSY at $t \to \infty$ is unbroken. However it was argued in [23] that in some range of parameters, the SUSY may fail, resulting in absence of stationary solution of the FP equation. The reason for the SUSY failure is the non-normalizability of the solution. It was argued in [23] that the solution in the SUSY broken phase corresponds to the steady state with the nontrivial current. Whether the SUSY breaking can be identified with the DK transition, is an open and intriguing question.

## B Statistics of area-weighted Brownian excursions paths

By definition, the Dyck path of length $2n$ on the square lattice starts at the origin $(0, 0)$, ends at point $(n, n)$ and consists of the union of sequential elementary "$\uparrow$" and "$\rightarrow$" steps, such that the path always stays above the diagonal of the square. The number of all Dyck

paths of length $2n$ is given by the Catalan number, $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}\Big|_{n\gg 1} \sim \frac{2^{2n}}{n^{3/2}}$. The "magnetic" Dyck paths can be viewed as a trajectory of a charged particle on a square lattice in an external transversal magnetic field (after applied Wick rotation), where the motion of a particle is subject to two restrictions: it moves only up and right and never intersects the diagonal. Calculating the action for such a particle, we see that $q = e^{iH}$ (where $H$ is the external magnetic field) is the fugacity of the area, $A$, under the Dyck path, and $s = e^m$ (where $m$ is the mass of the charged particle) is the fugacity of the path length, $n$. The information about the statistics of area-weighted Dyck paths can be easily extracted from the partition function of the grand canonical ensemble,

$$F(s^2, q) = \sum_{\text{All Dyck paths}} q^A s^{2n} \tag{B.1}$$

This model can be referred to as the "chiral Hofstadter system", considered in [88]. To proceed with calculations, turn the lattice by $\pi/4$ and write the recursion relation for the partition function $Z_k(x; q)$ on a half-line, $x \geq 0$, where $x$ is the height of the path at the step $k$. The area, $A$, below the path is counted as a sum of filled plaquettes (i.e. "heights") between the path and the $x = 0$-axis, as shown in the Fig.8. Each plaquette carries the weight $q$.

The partition function of area-weighted Dyck paths, $Z_k(x, q)$, on a finite strip satisfies the recursion:

$$\begin{cases} Z_{k+1}(x,q) = q^{x-1} Z_k(x+1,q) + Z_k(x-1,q) \\ Z_k(0,q) = Z_k(L,q) = 0 \\ Z_{k=0}(x,q) = \delta_{x,1} \end{cases} \tag{B.2}$$

Recall that by definition (B.1), $F(s^2, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{2n}(1, q) s^{2n}$.

Define $\mathbf{Z}_{2n}(q) = \big(Z_{2n}(1,q), Z_{2n}(2,q), ..., Z_{2n}(L,q)\big)^{\intercal}$ and rewrite equation (B.2) in a matrix form:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{2n}(q) = T^{2n}(q)\mathbf{Z}_0 \tag{B.3}$$

where

$$T_L(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & q & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 0 & q^{L-1} \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{Z}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.4}$$

We are interested in the value $Z_{2n}(1, q)$ since at the very last step the trajectory returns to the initial point.

Evaluating powers of the matrix $T_L$, we can straightforwardly check that the values of $Z_{2n}(1; q)$ at $L \to \infty$ are given by Carlitz–Riordan $q$-Catalan numbers [89, 90], namely,

$Z_{2n}(1,q) = C_n(q), (n = 1, 2, 3, ...)$. Recall that $C_n(q)$ satisfy the recursion

$$C_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k C_k(q) C_{n-k-1}(q) \tag{B.5}$$

which is the $q$-extension of the standard recursion for Catalan numbers. The generating function

$$F(s^2, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^{2n} C_n(q) \tag{B.6}$$

obeys the functional relation

$$F(s^2, q) = 1 + s^2 F(s^2, q) F(s^2 q, q) \tag{B.7}$$

The solution of (B.7) at finite $L$ can be written as a continued fraction expansion truncated at the etage $L$:

$$F_L(s^2, q) = \cfrac{1}{1 - \cfrac{s^2}{1 - \cfrac{s^2 q}{1 - \cfrac{\ddots}{1 - \cfrac{s^2 q^{L-2}}{1 - s^2 q^{L-1}}}}}} \tag{B.8}$$

At $L \to \infty$ one has

$$F(s^2, q) = \lim_{L\to\infty} F_L(s^2, q) = \frac{A_q(s^2)}{A_q(s^2/q)} \tag{B.9}$$

where $A_q(s)$ is the $q$-Airy function,

$$A_q(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}(-s)^k}{(q;q)_k}; \quad (t;q)_k = \prod_{k=0}^{k-1} (1 - tq^k) \tag{B.10}$$

In the works [91–93] it has been shown that in the double scaling limit $q \to 1^-$ and $s \to \frac{1}{2}^-$ the function $F(s, q)$ has the following asymptotic form

$$F(z) \sim F_{\rm reg} + (1-q)^{1/3} \frac{d}{dz} \ln \mathrm{Ai}(4z); \quad z = \frac{\frac{1}{4} - s^2}{(1-q)^{2/3}}, \tag{B.11}$$

where $F_{\rm reg}$ is the regular part at $\left(q \to 1^-,\ s \to \frac{1}{2}^-\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ai}(z) = \dfrac{1}{\pi} \displaystyle\int_0^\infty \cos(\xi^3/3 + \xi z)\, d\xi$ is the Airy function. The function $F(s, 1)$ is the generating function for the undeformed Catalan numbers:

$$F(s^2, q = 1) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4s^2}}{2s^2} \tag{B.12}$$

The generating function $F(s^2, 1)$ is defined for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, and at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ the first derivative of $F(s^2, 1)$ experiences a singularity which is interpreted as the critical behavior. The limit $q = 1$, $s \to \frac{1}{2}^-$ can be read also from the asymptotic expression for $F(s, q)$,

Eq.(B.11) at $q \to 1^-$, $s \to \frac{1}{2}^-$: $F(s,q)\big|_{q\to 1^-} \sim F_{\rm reg} - 2\sqrt{1-4s^2}$, where $F_{\rm reg} = 2$ at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that the first non-singular term in this expression does not contain $q$, so it is no matter in which order the limit in (B.11) is taken. However to define the double scaling behavior and derive the Airy-type asymptotic, the simultaneous scaling in $s$ and $q$ is required.

The Cristoffel-Darboux formula applied to the generating function of the recursion (B.2) allows one to compute the kernel $K(s,t)$ for the (1+1)D magnetic random walk in the generating function form:

$$\begin{aligned} K(s,t) = \lim_{L\to\infty} K_L(s,t) &\equiv \lim_{L\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{L} F_n(s^2)F_n(t^2) \\ &= (st)^2 q^{L-1} \frac{F_L(s,q)F_{L+1}(t,q) - F_{L+1}(s,q)F_L(t,q)}{t^2-s^2}\Big|_{L\to\infty} \\ &\propto \frac{s^2 A_q(s^2)A_q(t^2/q) - t^2 A_q(t^2)A_q(s^2/q)}{t^2-s^2} \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

The kernel $K(s,t)$ in (B.13) has the structure typical for kernels of determinantal point processes (see, for review [94]) with one essential difference: in (B.13) the kernel determines the correlations at different fugacities $s$ and $t$ conjugated to different times in *one point*, while in determinantal processes the kernel $K(x,y)$ determines the correlation *in different points*, $x$ and $y$, at the same time.

## References


[1] M. R. Douglas and V. A. Kazakov, "Large N phase transition in continuum QCD in two-dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **319**, 219 (1993) [hep-th/9305047].

[2] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, "Possible Third Order Phase Transition in the Large N Lattice Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. D **21**, 446 (1980).

[3] S. R. Wadia, "$N$ = Infinity Phase Transition in a Class of Exactly Soluble Model Lattice Gauge Theories," Phys. Lett. B **93**, 403 (1980). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90353-6

[4] D. J. Gross and A. Matytsin, "Some properties of large N two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory," Nucl. Phys. B **437**, 541 (1995) [hep-th/9410054].

[5] B. Durhuus and P. Olesen, "The Spectral Density for Two-dimensional Continuum QCD," Nucl. Phys. B **184**, 461 (1981). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90230-3

[6] D. Jafferis and J. Marsano, "A DK phase transition in q-deformed Yang-Mills on S**2 and topological strings," hep-th/0509004.

[7] N. Caporaso, M. Cirafici, L. Griguolo, S. Pasquetti, D. Seminara and R. J. Szabo, "Topological Strings, Two-Dimensional Yang-Mills Theory and Chern-Simons Theory on Torus Bundles," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **12**, 981 (2008) [hep-th/0609129].
N. Caporaso, M. Cirafici, L. Griguolo, S. Pasquetti, D. Seminara and R. J. Szabo, "Topological strings and large N phase transitions. I. Nonchiral expansion of q-deformed Yang-Mills theory," JHEP **0601**, 035 (2006) [hep-th/0509041].
N. Caporaso, M. Cirafici, L. Griguolo, S. Pasquetti, D. Seminara and R. J. Szabo, "Topological strings and large N phase transitions. II. Chiral expansion of q-deformed Yang-Mills theory," JHEP **0601**, 036 (2006) [hep-th/0511043].

[8] X. Arsiwalla, R. Boels, M. Marino and A. Sinkovics, "Phase transitions in q-deformed 2-D Yang-Mills theory and topological strings," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 026005 (2006) [hep-th/0509002].
N. Caporaso, L. Griguolo, M. Marino, S. Pasquetti and D. Seminara, "Phase transitions, double-scaling limit, and topological strings," Phys. Rev. D **75**, 046004 (2007) [hep-th/0606120].

[9] M. Aganagic and K. Schaeffer, "Refined Black Hole Ensembles and Topological Strings," JHEP **1301**, 060 (2013) [arXiv:1210.1865 [hep-th]].

[10] Z. Kökényesi, A. Sinkovics and R. J. Szabo, "Refined Chern-Simons theory and (q, t)-deformed Yang-Mills theory: Semi-classical expansion and planar limit," JHEP **1310**, 067 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1707 [hep-th]].

[11] P. Forrester, S. Majumdar and G. Schehr, "Non-intersecting Brownian walkers and Yang-Mills theory on the sphere" arXiv e-print (arXiv:1009.2362)

[12] G. Schehr, S. Majumdar, F. Comtet nd P. Forrester, "'Reunion Probability of N Vicious Walkers: Typical and Large Fluctuations for Large N"' (arXiv:1210.4438)

[13] S. de Haro and M. Tierz, "Brownian motion, Chern-Simons theory, and 2-D Yang-Mills," Phys. Lett. B **601**, 201 (2004) [hep-th/0406093].

[14] S. de Haro, "Chern-Simons theory, 2d Yang-Mills, and Lie algebra wanderers," Nucl. Phys. B **730**, 312 (2005) [hep-th/0412110].

[15] S. de Haro, "Chern-Simons theory in lens spaces from 2-D Yang-Mills on the cylinder," JHEP **0408**, 041 (2004) [hep-th/0407139].

[16] C. Vafa, "Two dimensional Yang-Mills, black holes and topological strings," hep-th/0406058.

[17] H. Ooguri, A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Black hole attractors and the topological string," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 106007 (2004) [hep-th/0405146].

[18] M. Aganagic, H. Ooguri, N. Saulina and C. Vafa, "Black holes, q-deformed 2d Yang-Mills, and non-perturbative topological strings," Nucl. Phys. B **715**, 304 (2005) [hep-th/0411280].

[19] A. Borodin and L. Petrov "'Integrable probability: From representation theory to Macdonald processes"' Probability Surveys 11 (2014), pp. 1-58, arXiv 1310.8007

[20] G. Parisi and Y. Wu, Sc.Sin, 1981,24, 483

[21] G. Marchesini, "A Comment on the Stochastic Quantization: The Loop Equation of Gauge Theory as the Equilibrium Condition," Nucl. Phys. B **191**, 214 (1981). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90296-0

[22] G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, "Supersymmetric Field Theories and Stochastic Differential Equations," Nucl. Phys. B **206**, 321 (1982). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(82)90538-7

[23] M. V. Felgel'man and A. M. Tsvelik "'Hidden supersymmetry of stochastic dissipative dynamics"' Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83, 1430-1443 (1982)

[24] H. Nicolai, "On a New Characterization of Scalar Supersymmetric Theories," Phys. Lett. B **89**, 341 (1980).

[25] S. Cecotti and L. Girardello, "Functional Measure, Topology and Dynamical Supersymmetry Breaking," Phys. Lett. B **110**, 39 (1982).

[26] R. Dijkgraaf, D. Orlando and S. Reffert, "Relating Field Theories via Stochastic Quantization," Nucl. Phys. B **824**, 365 (2010)

[27] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, "2d Wall-Crossing, R-Twisting, and a Supersymmetric Index," arXiv:1002.3638 [hep-th].

[28] J. L. Cardy, "SLE for theoretical physicists," Annals Phys. **318**, 81 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.aop.2005.04.001 [cond-mat/0503313].

[29] G. Lifschytz and V. Periwal, "Schwinger-Dyson = Wheeler-DeWitt: Gauge theory observables as bulk operators," JHEP **0004**, 026 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/04/026 [hep-th/0003179].

[30] D. Polyakov, "AdS / CFT correspondence, critical strings and stochastic quantization," Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 1979 (2001) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/18/10/311 [hep-th/0005094].

[31] E. Bettelheim, A. G. Abanov and P. Wiegmann, "Quantum Shock Waves: The case for non-linear effects in dynamics of electronic liquids," Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 246401 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.246401 [cond-mat/0606778].
E. Bettelheim, A. G. Abanov and P. Wiegmann, "Nonlinear Dynamics of Quantum Systems and Soliton Theory," J. Phys. A **40**, F193 (2007) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/40/8/F02 [nlin/0605006 [nlin-si]].

[32] A. G. Abanov and P. B. Wiegmann, "Quantum hydrodynamics, quantum Benjamin-Ono equation, and Calogero model," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 076402 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.076402 [cond-mat/0504041].
A. G. Abanov, E. Bettelheim and P. Wiegmann, "Integrable hydrodynamics of Calogero-Sutherland model: Bidirectional Benjamin-Ono equation," J. Phys. A **42**, 135201 (2009) doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/13/135201 [arXiv:0810.5327 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[33] J. P. Blaizot, M. A. Nowak "Large N(c) confinement and turbulence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 102001 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.102001 [arXiv:0801.1859 [hep-th]].

[34] J. P. Blaizot, M. A. Nowak and P. Warchoł, "Burgers-like equation for spontaneous breakdown of the chiral symmetry in QCD," Phys. Lett. B **724**, 170 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.06.022 [arXiv:1303.2357 [hep-ph]

[35] K. Bulycheva, A. Gorsky and S. Nechaev, "Critical behavior in topological ensembles," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 10, 105006 (2015)

[36] J. de Boer, E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, "On the holographic renormalization group," JHEP **0008**, 003 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/08/003 [hep-th/9912012].

[37] S. Nakamura and H. Ooguri, "Out of Equilibrium Temperature from Holography," Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 12, 126003 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.126003 [arXiv:1309.4089 [hep-th]].

[38] D. T. Son and D. Teaney, "Thermal Noise and Stochastic Strings in AdS/CFT," JHEP **0907**, 021 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/07/021 [arXiv:0901.2338 [hep-th]].
J. Casalderrey-Solana, K. Y. Kim and D. Teaney, "Stochastic String Motion Above and Below the World Sheet Horizon," JHEP **0912**, 066 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/12/066 [arXiv:0908.1470 [hep-th]].

[39] J. de Boer, V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and M. Shigemori, "Brownian motion in AdS/CFT," JHEP **0907**, 094 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/07/094 [arXiv:0812.5112 [hep-th]].

[40] V. E. Hubeny and M. Rangamani, "A Holographic view on physics out of equilibrium," Adv. High Energy Phys. **2010**, 297916 (2010) doi:10.1155/2010/297916 [arXiv:1006.3675 [hep-th]].

[41] J. L. Cardy, "Calogero-Sutherland model and bulk boundary correlations in conformal field theory," Phys. Lett. B **582**, 121 (2004) [hep-th/0310291].

[42] A. Gorsky and N. Nekrasov, "Relativistic Calogero-Moser model as gauged WZW theory," Nucl. Phys. B **436**, 582 (1995)

[43] A. Gorsky and N. Nekrasov, "Hamiltonian systems of Calogero type and two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory," Nucl. Phys. B **414**, 213 (1994) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90429-4 [hep-th/9304047].

[44] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan and M. T. Walters, "Virasoro Conformal Blocks and Thermality from Classical Background Fields," JHEP **1511**, 200 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)200 [arXiv:1501.05315 [hep-th]].
K. B. Alkalaev and V. A. Belavin, "Holographic interpretation of 1-point toroidal block in the semiclassical limit," arXiv:1603.08440 [hep-th].

[45] L. Susskind, "Some speculations about black hole entropy in string theory," In *Teitelboim, C. (ed.): The black hole* 118-131 [hep-th/9309145].

[46] G. T. Horowitz and J. Polchinski, "A Correspondence principle for black holes and strings," Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6189 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.6189 [hep-th/9612146].

[47] M. Kruczenski and A. Lawrence, "Random walks and the Hagedorn transition," JHEP **0607**, 031 (2006) [hep-th/0508148].

[48] T. G. Mertens, H. Verschelde and V. I. Zakharov, "Random Walks in Rindler Spacetime and String Theory at the Tip of the Cigar," JHEP **1403**, 086 (2014) [arXiv:1307.3491 [hep-th]].
T. G. Mertens, H. Verschelde and V. I. Zakharov, "Near-Hagedorn Thermodynamics and Random Walks: a General Formalism in Curved Backgrounds," JHEP **1402**, 127 (2014) [arXiv:1305.7443 [hep-th]].

[49] J. Bec and K. Khanin, Burgers Turbulence, Phys. Rep., **447**, 1 (2007)

[50] A. Gorsky and A. Milekhin, "Condensates and instanton – torus knot duality. Hidden Physics at UV scale," Nucl. Phys. B **900**, 366 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.09.015 [arXiv:1412.8455 [hep-th]].

[51] A. Gorsky, A. Milekhin and N. Sopenko, "The Condensate from Torus Knots," JHEP **1509**, 102 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)102 [arXiv:1506.06695 [hep-th]].

[52] S. Bolognesi, "Magnetic Bags and Black Holes," Nucl. Phys. B **845**, 324 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.12.008 [arXiv:1005.4642 [hep-th]].
S. Bolognesi and D. Tong, "Monopoles and Holography," JHEP **1101**, 153 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2011)153 [arXiv:1010.4178 [hep-th]].

[53] T. Azuma, P. Basu and S. R. Wadia, "Monte Carlo Studies of the GWW Phase Transition in Large-N Gauge Theories," Phys. Lett. B **659**, 676 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.11.088 [arXiv:0710.5873 [hep-th]].

[54] L. Alvarez-Gaume, P. Basu, M. Marino and S. R. Wadia, "Blackhole/String Transition for the Small Schwarzschild Blackhole of AdS(5)x S**5 and Critical Unitary Matrix Models," Eur. Phys. J. C **48**, 647 (2006) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-006-0049-x [hep-th/0605041].

[55] S. A. Hartnoll, "Horizons, holography and condensed matter," arXiv:1106.4324 [hep-th].

[56] J. A. Minahan and A. P. Polychronakos, "Classical solutions for two-dimensional QCD on the sphere," Nucl. Phys. B **422**, 172 (1994) [hep-th/9309119].

[57] K. Liechty and D.Wang , " Nonintersecting Brownian motions on the unit circle.", arxiv:1312.7390

[58] S. Giombi and V. Pestun, "The 1/2 BPS 't Hooft loops in N=4 SYM as instantons in 2d Yang-Mills," J. Phys. A **46**, 095402 (2013) [arXiv:0909.4272 [hep-th]].

[59] E. Pollock and D. Ceperley. "Path-Integral Computation of Superfluid Densities", Phys.Rev. B36, 8343 (1987)

[60] D. Ceperley and E. Pollock, "'Path-Integral computation of the Low-Temperature Properties of Liquid 4He"', Phys.Rev.Lett.56, 351 (1986)

[61] B. Svistunov, E. Babaev and N. Prokof'ev, "Superfluid state of matter", CRC Press, (2015)

[62] N. Prokof'ev and B. Svistunov , "'Two definitions of Superfluid Density"' DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.61.11282

[63] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, "Black Holes as Critical Point of Quantum Phase Transition," Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2752 (2014) [arXiv:1207.4059 [hep-th]].

[64] D. Flassig, A. Franca and A. Pritzel , "'Large-N ground state of the Lieb-Liniger model and Yang-Mills theory on a two-sphere"' arXiv;1508.01515

[65] A. A. Gerasimov and S. L. Shatashvili, "Two-dimensional gauge theories and quantum integrable systems," Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, May 25-29 2007, University of Augsburg, Germany [arXiv:0711.1472 [hep-th]].
A. A. Gerasimov and S. L. Shatashvili, "Higgs Bundles, Gauge Theories and Quantum Groups," Commun. Math. Phys. **277**, 323 (2008) [hep-th/0609024].

[66] A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, "The Quantum Collective Field Method and Its Application to the Planar Limit," Nucl. Phys. B **165**, 511 (1980). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(80)90046-2

[67] A. Gorsky and V. Lysov, "From effective actions to the background geometry," Nucl. Phys. B **718**, 293 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.04.020

[68] R. Gopakumar, "From free fields to AdS," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 025009 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.025009 [hep-th/0308184].

[69] A. P. Polychronakos, "Generalized statistics in one-dimension," hep-th/9902157.

[70] N. Nekrasov, "On a duality in Calogero-Moser-Sutherland systems," hep-th/9707111.

[71] H. Neuberger, "Burgers' equation in 2D SU(N) YM," Phys. Lett. B **666**, 106 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.064 [arXiv:0806.0149 [hep-th]].
H. Neuberger, "Complex Burgers' equation in 2D SU(N) YM," Phys. Lett. B **670**, 235 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.11.009 [arXiv:0809.1238 [hep-th]].

[72] P.L. Ferrari, M. Praehofer, and H. Spohn, Stochastic Growth in One Dimension and Gaussian Multi-Matrix Models, In proceedings of the *14th International Congress on Mathematical Physics* (ICMP 2003), World Scientific (Ed. J.-C. Zambrini) (2006), 404-411, arXiv:math-ph/0310053

[73] J.M. Burgers, *The Nonlinear Diffusion Equation: Asymptotic Solutions and Statistical Problems*, (Springer: 1974)

[74] A. Yu. Cherny, J. Caux and J. Brand, "'Theory of superfluidity and drag force in the one-dimensional Bose gas"' Frontiers of Physics 7, 54 (2012)

[75] D. Krefl, "Non-Perturbative Quantum Geometry," JHEP **1402**, 084 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)084

[76] M. Kardar, Replica Bethe ansatz studies of two-dimensional interfaces with quenched random impurities Nucl. Phys. B **290**, 582 (1987)

[77] Victor Dotsenko, Bethe anzats derivation of the Tracy-Widom distribution for one-dimensional directed polymers, arXiv:1003.4899

[78] P.Calabrese and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 250603 (2011); arXiv:1204.2607

[79] Victor Dotsenko, private communication

[80] I. M. Lifshitz, S. A. Gredeskul, and L. A. Pastur, *Introduction to the theory of disordered systems* (Wiley-Interscience, 1988)
W. Kirsch and I. Veselic, Lifshitz Tails for a Class of Schrödinger Operators with Random Breather-Type Potential, Lett. Math. Phys. **94**, 27 (2010).

[81] A. Grassi, Y. Hatsuda and M. Marino, "Topological Strings from Quantum Mechanics," arXiv:1410.3382 [hep-th].

[82] P. G. O. Freund and A. V. Zabrodin, "Macdonald polynomials from Sklyanin algebras: a conceptual basis for the p-adics quantum group connection.," Commun. Math. Phys. **147**, 277 (1992) doi:10.1007/BF02096588 [hep-th/9110066].

[83] M. Kulkarni and A. G. Abanov, "Cold Fermi-gas with long range interaction in a harmonic trap," Nucl. Phys. B **846**, 122 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.12.015 [arXiv:1006.0966 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].

[84] S. Dutta and R. Gopakumar, "Free fermions and thermal AdS/CFT," JHEP **0803**, 011 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/011 [arXiv:0711.0133 [hep-th]].

[85] R. Dijkgraaf, R. Gopakumar, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, "Baby universes in string theory," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 066002 (2006) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.066002 [hep-th/0504221].
M. Aganagic, H. Ooguri and T. Okuda, "Quantum Entanglement of Baby Universes," Nucl. Phys. B **778**, 36 (2007) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.04.006 [hep-th/0612067].

[86] G. Schehr, S.N. Majumdar, A. Comtet, and J. Randon-Furlin, Exact distribution of the maximal height of p vicious walkers, arXiv:0807.0522

[87] C. W. J. Beenakker and B. Rejaei, Random-matrix theory of parametric correlations in the spectra of disordered metals and chaotic billiards, arXiv:cond-mat/9310068

[88] S. Mashkevich and S. Ouvry, Area Distribution of Two-Dimensional Random Walks on a Square Lattice J. Stat. Phys. **137**, 71-78 (2009)

[89] L. Carlitz and J. Riordan, Two element lattice permutation numbers and their $q$-generalization, Duke J. Math. **31**, 371-388 (1964)

[90] J. Fürlinger and J. Hofbauer, $q$-Catalan numbers, J. Comb. Th. A **40**, 248-264 (1985)

[91] T. Prellberg and R. Brak, Critical exponents from nonlinear functional equations for partially directed cluster models, J. Stat. Phys., **78**, 701-730 (1995)

[92] C. Richard and A. J. Guttmann, and I. Jensen, Scaling function and universal amplitude combinations for self-avoiding polygons, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34**, L495-L501 (2001)

[93] C. Richard, Scaling Behaviour of Two-Dimensional Polygon Models, J. Stat. Phys., **108**, 459-493 (2002)

[94] A. Borodin, A. Okounkov, G. Olshanski, Asymptotics of Plancherel measures for symmetric groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), 481